

Sari Aymen Alrawi^{1,*}, Arkan Dhari Jalal² & Uday Hatem Abdulhameed²

Abstract: Concentrating on the Haditha Dam reservoir in Anbar Province, Iraq, this study examines the connection between water quality parameters and changes in the Euphrates River's water level. Based on time-series data and using SPSS software, key variables such as discharge Q, electrical conductivity EC, pH, total suspended solids TSS, and major ions Na, K, Mg, Ca, Cl, SO_4 were evaluated through a combination of statistical and graphical techniques. The findings show that high water levels considerably improve water quality by lowering sedimentation TSS and electrical conductivity EC, as well as affecting ion concentrations like potassium K and chloride Cl. Parameters like pH and magnesium Mg showed little variations hence stability in the changed hydrological conditions. The findings are corroborated by graphical representations, showing the skewness and variability of the parameters under examination and conforming to their statistical associations. In order to reduce hazards to domestic and agricultural water consumption, these findings highlight the significance of adaptive water management techniques, such as controlling salinity and sedimentation during low water levels and routinely monitoring important ions. In order to ensure long-term water quality and availability in the face of hydrological and human demands, this research offers practical insights for encouraging sustainable water management in Haditha dam reservoir by combining statistical correlations.



records were employed, with theoretical investigations and trend analysis [7]. Discharge levels and water elevation, together with the spatial components of the river water quality models, stress temporal changes [8]. In continuous models, components or variables are gradually incorporated into an analysis of the evolution of water-related problems during the previous periods [9]. Adopting a single standard is difficult since different criteria for river water qualities must be evaluated for diverse applications. Different definitions and the substance of the measured parameters are the basis for different classifications. To accommodate the various applications of water quality assessments, other alterations and classifications have also been created [10]. The Euphrates River is the main water source for Iraq, providing water requirements for agricultural and urban uses and the industrial sector [11]. Discharge fluctuations influence hydraulic properties, including velocity of flow and depth, as well as water quality factors necessary for human consumption and crops [12]. Over the course of the last century, floods have significantly altered the course of the Euphrates River. Bends, twists, islands, and the progression and retreat of banks are some examples of these features [13]. Despite the low levels of heavy metal pollution in the Euphrates River within the research area, residential buildings cannot directly receive the river water. This is because the river water may include pathogens in addition to other contaminants including turbidity and suspended particles. includes viruses and bacteria. Therefore, before it can be used for drinking, it needs to be treated at the water treatment facility [14]. The increasing sodium levels intensify the detrimental effects of water on the soil, and

181/188
Published: An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine

the high EC value raises the salinity of the soil, rendering it unsuitable for irrigation [15]. The amount of water entering the Euphrates River has been continuously decreasing in comparison to its intake during the fifth and sixth decades of the previous century [16]. This is a result of rising demand for its water from Syria, Iraq, and Turkey. In addition to Syria's excessive water use, Turkey has filled water reservoirs with water by building many dams as part of the Southeast Anatolia Project. As a result, the salinity and quality of Iraq's river water have decreased [17]. stated that the physical, chemical, and elemental quantities of rivers are influenced by their defined flows and how they alter over the year. Additionally, it significantly affects the amount of silt carried, which affects the variation of these elements [18]. discovering a connection between the quantities of dissolved oxygen, nitrates, and suspended particles and the flow rate. There is an inverse relationship between temperature and flow rate. Flow rate either has no statistically significant correlation or has distinct correlations with the other parameters [19]. River temperature is affected when discharge or water flow is reduced. Because of their impact on variations in water temperature, dam releases in the outflows have grown in significance [20].

Objectives of the Study

The primary objective of this study is to analyze the impact of water level fluctuations in the Euphrates River on both hydraulic

properties and water quality parameters, with a specific focus on the Haditha Dam region. The study aims to:

1. Assess the relationship between discharge levels, water elevation, and key water quality parameters, including EC, pH, TSS, K, Na, Mg, Ca, Cl, and SO_4 .
2. Utilize statistical models to identify trends and correlations between hydraulic properties and water quality parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Area Study

Due to its three countries of passage, Iraq, Syria, and Turkey, the Euphrates River is regarded as an international river [21]. Iraq's primary water supply is the Euphrates River, which flows into the Tigris River. It is home to the major cities that depend on it for agricultural, industrial, and municipal water [22]. One of the Euphrates River's biggest and most significant bodies of water is the Haditha Reservoir. It is situated eight kilometers north of Haditha City in the western region of Iraq (figure 1); it was created as a result of the 1986 establishment of the Haditha dam. It is now a multifunctional use of water; the study region is situated between longitudes ($42^\circ 26'$ and $41^\circ 55'$) East and latitudes ($34^\circ 40'$ and $34^\circ 13'$) North, With a maximum flood water level of 147 meters above sea level and 10 kilometers of shoreline, the river and reservoir span roughly 500 km². The research area experiences minimal rainfall, with an average of 127 mm per year and a range of 45 to 200 mm. The region is classified as semi-arid or dry [23].



Figure (1): The Haditha Reservoir is location [23].

Collection Data

Monthly water samples were collected from selected sites during the period from January 2019 to January 2021. The study analyzed the following parameters: discharge (Q), water level (W.L), electrical conductivity (EC), pH, total suspended solids (TSS), potassium (K), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), chloride (Cl), and sulfate (SO_4). These parameters were measured at two critical locations: upstream (in front of the dam) and downstream (behind the dam).

Analytical techniques were employed to test each parameter, adhering to the recommended procedures in standard methodologies. Sampling was conducted during both wet and dry seasons to capture seasonal variations in water quality, following the standard procedures outlined in the Iraqi standards manual for water quality.

Water sampling adhered to standardized protocols to ensure accurate representation of the water quality parameters. Before sample collection, all plastic bottles were thoroughly washed,

dried, and rinsed with the water samples to be collected during the process. After collection, the samples were properly labeled and transported to the laboratories of the Haditha Dam Project for chemical analysis.

This comprehensive methodology ensured the reliability and accuracy of the data, allowing for an in-depth assessment of the relationship between water levels and the chemical and physical quality of water in the Euphrates River.

The study relied on data collected from the Haditha Dam, including water levels, discharges, and specific elements related to water quality. The aforementioned time series data was collected from the Upper Euphrates Basin Development Center affiliated with Anbar University (unpublished data) from 2019 to 2021. The highest water level in the dam reservoir was recorded at 147.07 meters in April 2021, while the lowest water level was 137.03 meters in December 2021. Monthly data was used for the analysis.

Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS)

Another emerging important statistical program that has tremendously increased in the usage among the researchers for carrying out various mathematical computations is statistical analysis software. Many branches of science utilize it, sociology, engineering science, administrative science, and agriculture. Through such tools SPSS aids in the presentation of data and research results where transparency and clarity are facilitated through an adoption of certain mechanisms. It helps the users to generate different types of graphical such as box plot, pie graph and bar chart which are very important in data summarization[24]. Nevertheless, SPSS provides a series of SYNTAX files which provide a view of the raw data together with values of the summarized statistics, contributing to transparency within the scientific environment [25]. Furthermore, for identifying quantities of interest, it includes per Functionalities for table making and graph drawing, so anybody can use it without having any statistical knowledge whatsoever[26].

Table (1): Frequencies Statistics.

N	Valid	Q	W.L	EC	pH	TSS	K	Na	Mg	Ca	Cl	So ₄
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		458.57	144.6720	751.0435	7.6728	513.78	7.559	31.591	23.628	40.015	59.224	160.650
Median		400.00	145.8150	754.5000	7.7000	517.00	7.600	30.750	24.350	40.500	58.000	162.500
Std. Deviation		155.120	2.78382	104.17314	.09147	93.283	1.4491	8.4380	4.5413	5.3923	13.5555	28.6524
Variance		24062.296	7.750	10852.043	.008	8701.641	2.100	71.200	20.623	29.077	183.751	820.962
Skewness		1.962	-1.520	.917	.371	.670	-.031	-.223	-1.164	-.836	.510	-.212
Std. Error of Skewness		.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350	.350
Kurtosis		3.581	1.130	.783	1.294	.183	-.511	1.406	1.937	2.073	.375	-1.438
Std. Error of Kurtosis		.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688	.688
Range		700	10.04	444.00	.44	369	6.1	41.0	22.2	28.8	59.3	93.0
Minimum		300	137.03	586.00	7.50	369	4.3	8.0	9.5	23.2	33.0	114.0
Maximum		1000	147.07	1030.00	7.94	738	10.4	49	31.7	52.0	92.3	207.0

The graphical analysis of the data, illustrated in Figures 4 to 14, complements the statistical findings by providing detailed insights into the distribution patterns and the skewness of each parameter:

Figure 2 (Discharge - Q): The histogram for Q exhibits a slight left skew, with high values ranging between 300 and 1000. This pattern highlights variability in river discharge, influenced by hydrological conditions and operational management, affecting the hydrodynamics of the system.




Figure (2): Discharge - Q

Figure 3 (Water Level - W.L): The distribution of W.L displays a slight right skew, with high values ranging from 137.03 to 147.07. These variations suggest occasional peaks due to reservoir operations or seasonal inflows.

Sampling, Measures, and Analysis

Samples were taken from the site during the selected period from January 2019 to December 2021. The study included 11 parameters: such as: flow discharge, water level, electrical conductivity (EC), pH, total suspended solids (TSS), potassium (K), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), calcium (Ca), chloride (Cl) and sulfate (SO₄). These parameters were analyzed in front of the dam. The above parameters were analyzed using the SPSS software to determine the impact of the above parameters. Bottle washing and labeling were properly done in the respective laboratories of the Haditha Dam Project while chemical analysis was also done.

RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Table 1 contains the statistical summary features like Discharge (Q), water level (W.L), pH, TSS, and major ions of Na, K, Ca, Mg, Cl, SO₄. Such measures range from the range mean, median, standard deviation, variance, skewness, and kurtosis, provide full descriptive of the data.




Figure (3): Water Level - W.L

Figure 4 (Electrical Conductivity - EC): EC shows a slight left skew, with high values between 586 and 1030. This indicates elevated salinity during periods of low water levels, consistent with the strong negative correlation ($r = -0.819$) observed in Table 3.




Figure (4): EC

Figure 5(pH): The pH distribution exhibits a slight left skew, with values ranging from 7.5 to 7.94. This indicates a stable pH range across varying water levels, with minimal variation despite the skewness.




Figure (5): PH

Figure 6 (Total Suspended Solids - TSS): TSS demonstrates a slight left skew, with high values between 369 and 738. This suggests significant sediment loads during low flows, impacting turbidity and water clarity.




Figure (6): Total Suspended Solids - TSS

Figure 7 (Potassium - K): The histogram for K is slightly left-skewed, with higher concentrations between 4.3 and 10.4. This reflects potential agricultural runoff or soil leaching as contributors during specific conditions.




Figure (7): Potassium - K

Figure 8 (Sodium - Na): Na shows a left-skewed distribution, with values ranging from 8 to 49. Elevated sodium levels during low water periods highlight potential salinity concerns for agricultural and domestic use.




Figure (8): Sodium - Na

Figure 9 (Magnesium - Mg): Mg demonstrates a slight right skew, with high values between 9.5 and 31.7. This suggests a geochemical influence with moderate variability under fluctuating water levels.




Figure (9): Magnesium - Mg

Figure 10 (Calcium - Ca): The histogram for Ca shows a left skew, with values between 23.2 and 52. This indicates variability in water hardness, affecting scaling potential in irrigation systems.




Figure (10): Ca

Figure 11 (Chloride - Cl): Cl distribution shows a left skew, with concentrations ranging from 33 to 92.3. The strong negative correlation ($r = -0.838$) in Table 3 aligns with these observations, emphasizing its sensitivity to water level fluctuations.




Figure (11): Chloride - Cl

Figure 12 (Sulfate - SO_4): SO_4 distribution is slightly right-skewed, with values ranging from 114 to 207. This indicates potential scaling issues during periods of elevated sulfate concentrations.




Figure (12): Sulfate - SO_4

Table (2): Parameters, Skewness and Range of Values

Parameter	Skew	Min. To Max. Val
Q	left	300 to 1000
W.L	right	137.03 to 147.07
PH	left	7.5 to 7.94
EC	left	586 to 1030
TSS	left	369 to 738
K	left	4.3 to 10.4
Na	left	8 to 49
Mg	right	9.5 to 31.7
Ca	left	23.2 to 52
Cl	left	33 to 92.3
SO_4	right	114 to 207

Table (3): Person Correlation.

Correlations											
	Q	W.L	EC	pH	TSS	K	Na	Mg	Ca	Cl	SO_4
Q	1										
W.L	0.336*	1									
EC	-0.438**	-0.819**	1								
pH	0.08	-0.302**	0.101	1							
TSS	-0.102	-0.706**	0.854**	0.81	1						
K	-0.633**	-0.728**	0.696**	0.017	0.517**	1					
Na	-0.32*	-0.341*	0.449**	-0.233	0.475**	0.462**	1				
Mg	-0.573**	-0.295*	0.295*	-0.123	0.054	0.356*	0.46**	1			
Ca	-0.207	-0.623**	0.703**	0.016	0.746**	0.482**	0.761**	0.362*	1		
Cl	-0.538**	-0.838**	0.901**	0.119	0.777**	0.718**	0.539**	0.488**	0.798**	1	
SO_4	-0.577**	-0.577**	0.85**	-0.055	0.692**	0.634**	0.353*	0.335*	0.543**	0.796**	1

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level.

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level.

Table 4 summarizes the correlation analysis between water level (W.L) and various water quality parameters, highlighting the strength of the relationships, their distribution patterns, and the impact on water quality. It is evident that Electrical Conductivity (EC) has the strongest negative correlation with W.L (-0.819),

indicating that higher water levels significantly reduce salinity, which is critical for irrigation purposes. Conversely, pH exhibits a weak negative correlation (-0.302), suggesting minimal variation with changes in water level. Other parameters, such as Chloride (Cl) (-0.838) and Potassium (K) (-0.728), also show strong

negative correlations, emphasizing the need for targeted management strategies to mitigate their effects during periods of low water levels.

Table (4): Water Level Correlation Analysis and Recommendations.

Parameter	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Distribution Analysis	Impact on Water Quality [27]	Recommendations
Electrical Conductivity (EC)	-0.819	Slight left skew due to high values (586-1030) other values are normally distributed.	Significant reduction in salinity at higher water levels; critical for irrigation quality.	Regular EC monitoring to mitigate salinity issues in low water levels.
pH	-0.302	Slight left skew with high values (7.5-7.94) majority are normal.	Negligible impact on pH with changes in water level; stable parameter.	Maintain standard pH monitoring; no significant adjustments required.
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	-0.706	Slight left skew due to high values (369-738) rest are normal.	Substantial reduction in sediment load; improves turbidity at higher levels.	Enhance erosion control and sediment management during low water levels.
Potassium (K)	-0.728	Slight left skew due to high values (4.3-10.4) others are normal.	Considerable decline in potassium; indicates reduced agricultural runoff influence.	Control potassium inputs from fertilizers to reduce runoff impacts.
Sodium (Na)	-0.341	Slight left skew with high values (8-49) remaining values are normal.	Moderate reduction in sodium; improves water suitability for agriculture.	Monitor sodium to manage salinity risks, especially during low water periods.
Magnesium (Mg)	-0.295	Slight right skew with high values (9.5-31.7); rest conform to normal distribution.	Minimal effect on magnesium; does not significantly affect water hardness.	Focus on critical factors as magnesium shows weak correlation with water level.
Calcium (Ca)	-0.623	Slight left skew with high values (23.2-52); other values normal.	Noticeable decrease in calcium; impacts water hardness and scaling potential.	Adjust treatment processes to manage hardness fluctuations due to calcium.
Chloride (Cl)	-0.838	Slight left skew due to high values (33-92.3); most conform to normal distribution.	Significant chloride reduction; enhances water taste and reduces corrosion risk.	Implement chloride reduction strategies during low water periods to improve quality.
Sulfate (SO ₄)	-0.577	Slight right skew due to high values (114-207); rest are normal.	Moderate sulfate reduction; mitigates scaling risks and improves usability.	Monitor sulfate to prevent scaling and enhance water suitability for domestic use.

Figure 13 visualizes the correlation coefficients for these parameters, providing a clear graphical representation of their relationships with W.L. The negative correlations dominate, with notable exceptions for weak correlations observed in Magnesium (Mg) (-0.295). The figure highlights the significant dependencies

of key parameters such as EC, Cl, and TSS on water levels, which align with the statistical trends observed in Table 3. These insights underscore the importance of adaptive water quality management practices in the Euphrates River to mitigate the effects of fluctuating water levels.




Figure (13): Correlation Coefficients of Water Quality Parameters with Water Level (W.L.).

CONCLUSION and RECOMMENDATION

This study effectively shows the complicated relationship between water levels and water quality measures in the Euphrates River, notably at the Haditha Dam reservoir in Anbar

Province, Iraq. By assessing key factors such as discharge (Q), electrical conductivity (EC), pH, total suspended solids (TSS), and main ions (Na, K, Mg, Ca, Cl, SO₄), the research demonstrates the significant impact of varying water levels on water quality.

The findings reveal that EC, Cl, and TSS demonstrate strong negative correlations with W.L, indicating that higher water levels improve salinity conditions, reduce sedimentation, and enhance overall water quality. On the other hand, pH and Mg show weak correlations, reflecting relative stability under varying hydrological conditions. The diversity of these parameters is further highlighted by the distribution patterns shown in Figures 4 to 14, where the skewness of some variables, like EC and TSS, corresponds with their statistical correlations.

The management of water resources will be significantly impacted by these discoveries. Effective salinity and sedimentation controls during low flows, along with routine monitoring of critical ions, are necessary to mitigate the detrimental effects on residential and agricultural water demands. To guarantee sustainable water use in the Haditha dam reservoir, adaptive water quality standards that take these findings into account should be created.

This study collects statistical correlations, as presented in Table 4, and graphical analysis, as shown in Figure 15, to offer a holistic framework for understanding and managing water quality dynamics under changing water levels. The findings are very important for assuring long-term water sustainability in areas that experience both hydrological variability and anthropogenic pressures.

To evaluate the effect of varying water levels on water quality, seasonal monitoring procedures should be put in place. Insights into the dynamics of regional water quality can be gained by comparing the outcomes with those of other dams and reservoirs around Iraq. Furthermore, in order to effectively predict changes in water quality, more sophisticated statistical models—like multiple regression analysis and hydrological models—must be used. By improving knowledge of the connection between water levels and quality, these methods would result in more sensible management plans for long-term water resources.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- **Ethics clearance and participation consent:** Not Applicable.
- **Consent for publication:** Not Applicable.
- **Author's contribution:** Sari Aymen Alrawi, Arkan Dhari Jalal, Uday Hatem Abdulhameed: Study design and conception & Draft manuscript preparation. Sari Aymen Alrawi: Computations and theoretical modeling & Data analysis and validation
- **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.
- **Funding:** No granted funding

OPEN ACCESS

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

REFERENCES

- 1] Sulaiman SO, Najm ABA, Kamel AH, Al-Ansari N. Evaluate the Optimal Future Demand of Water Consumption in Al-Anbar Province in the West of Iraq. *Int J Sustain Dev Plan*. 2021 Jun 22;16(3):457–62.
- 2] Eryigit M, Sulaiman SO, Najm ABA, Mhedi NM. Optimal management of multiple water resources by a heuristic optimization for a water supply in the desert cities of Western Iraq. *Desalin Water Treat*. 2023 Jan;281:7–14.
- 3] World Health Organization. *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*. 3rd editio. Vol. 1. Geneva, Switzerland; 2008.
- 4] van Belle G, Hughes JP. Nonparametric Tests for Trend in Water Quality. *Water Resour Res*. 1984;20(1).
- 5] Štambuk-Giljanović N. Water quality evaluation by index in Dalmatia. *Water Res*. 1999;33(16).
- 6] UN-ESCWA, BGR. *Inventory of Shared Water Resources in Western Asia. CHAPRET 6: Jordan River Basin*. Invent Shar Water Resour West Asia. 2013;
- 7] Ouyang Y, Nkedi-Kizza P, Wu QT, Shinde D, Huang CH. Assessment of seasonal variations in surface water quality. *Water Res*. 2006;40(20).
- 8] Ramal MM, Jalal AD, Abdulhameed UH. Heavy Metal Assessment in Taps Drinking Water of Ramadi City Using Water Quality Indices, Anbar Province, Iraq. *Int J Sustain Dev Plan*. 2021;16(7):1349–57.
- 9] Rama Krishna S, Rathor K, Ranga J, Soni A, Srinivas D, Anil Kumar N. Artificial Intelligence Integrated with Big Data Analytics for Enhanced Marketing. In: 6th International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies, ICICT 2023 - Proceedings. 2023.
- 10] Poole GC, Dunham JB, Keenan DM, Sauter ST, McCullough DA, Mebane C, et al. The Case for Regime-based Water Quality Standards. In: *BioScience*. 2004.
- 11] Ramal MM, Abdulhameed UH, Jalal AD. Trace Elements Risk Assessment in Taps Drinking Water of Ramadi City, Anbar Province, Iraq. *Int J Saf Secur Eng*. 2021;11(6):623–34.
- 12] FOA. the state of food and agriculture, 1994 [Internet]. Vol. 125. Rome, Italy; 1994 [cited 2024 Oct 14]. 125 p. Available from: <https://openknowledge.fao.org/handle/20.500.14283/t4450e>
- 13] Esa MJ. Hydrochemical and Pollution of the Sediments of the Euphrates River South of the Hindiyah Dam. [baghdad]: University of Baghdad; 1995.
- 14] Sahab MF, Alani AR, Marzoog A, Fahad MM, Fayyadh AH, Manuscript A. *Natural Sciences*. 2025;3(2).
- 15] Sahab MF, Abdullah MH, Hammadi GA, Hamad NS, Abdulazez AA, Fayyadh AH, et al. Ground Water Quality Evaluation for Irrigation Purpose: Case Study Al-Wafaa Area, Western Iraq. *Int J Des Nat Ecodynamics*. 2024;19(4):1415–24.
- 16] Lafta AA, Al-Taei SA, Al-fartusi AJ. One Dimensional Hydrodynamics Model For Khor Al-Zubair Channel, South West of Iraq. *J Int Acad Res Multidiscip*. 2015;3(4):437–45.
- 17] Doyle P. *Environmental Geology*. In: *Encyclopedia of Geology: Volume 1-6, Second Edition*. 2020.
- 18] sadkhan A. Pollution of the Euphrates River in Dhi Qar, An Environmental Geographic Study. [Al-basra]: university of basra; 2007.
- 19] Hanslík E, Marešová D, Juranová E, Vlnas R. Dependence of selected water quality parameters on flow rates at river

- sites in the Czech Republic. *J Sustain Dev Energy, Water Environ Syst.* 2016;4(2):127–40.
- 20] Arismendi I, Safeeq M, Dunham JB, Johnson SL. Can air temperature be used to project influences of climate change on stream temperature? *Environ Res Lett.* 2014 Aug 1;9(8):084015.
- 21] Abdulhameed IM, Sulaiman SO, Najm ABA. Reuse Wastewater by Using Water Evaluation and Planning (WEAP) (Ramadi City-Case Study). In: *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.* 2021.
- 22] Khudair MY, Kamel AH, Sulaiman SO, Al Ansari N. Groundwater Quality and Sustainability Evaluation for Irrigation Purposes: A Case Study in an Arid Region, Iraq. *Int J Sustain Dev Plan.* 2022 Apr 26;17(2):413–9.
- 23] Jalal AD, Ani Y Al, Thameel SS, Ismael ZM. Study of the Euphrates River's Water Quality in front of and behind the Haditha Dam in Anbar Province, Iraq. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci.* 2023;1222(1).
- 24] Bakouch HS, Jamal F. Presentation of data. In: Nasir MA, editor. *Introductory Statistical Procedures with SPSS.* BENTHAM SCIENCE PUBLISHERS; 2022. p. 9–30.
- 25] Loffing F. Raw Data Visualization for Common Factorial Designs Using SPSS: A Syntax Collection and Tutorial. *Front Psychol.* 2022 Mar 30;13.
- 26] Hinton PR, McMurray I. Presenting Your Data with SPSS Explained. *Presenting Your Data with SPSS Explained.* 2017.
- 27] Ronny R. Duncan, Carrow RN, Huck MT. *Turfgrass and Landscape Irrigation Water Qualit.* 2015. 6 p.