Perception and Factors Associated with Patient Safety Culture in an Academic University Hospital in Palestine

Loai M. Zabin^{1,2,*}

¹Nursing Department, An-Najah National University Hospital, Nablus, Palestine. ²Faculty of Graduate Studies, Arab American University, Jenin, Palestine.

* Corresponding author: p.health@live.com

Received: (27/9/2023), Accepted: (19/10/2023), Published: (1/6/2024)

ABSTRACT

Background: Patient safety culture plays a pivotal role in enhancing care quality, preventing errors, promoting clinical outcomes, and reducing healthcare costs. It also helps minimize adverse events, increasing patient safety, and elevating the quality of medical care provided. This study aims to evaluate the perception of patient safety culture within a university hospital. It aims to examine the correlation between the demographic and workplace characteristics of the staff and their perceptions of patient safety culture. Methods: A quantitative cross-sectional study design was employed for this study. The Arabic version of the Hospital Survey of Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) v2.0 was used to evaluate the perception of patient safety culture among hospital staff. The survey was distributed to all staff via email using the Survey Monkey® online platform over two weeks. Descriptive statistics were used to measure the perception. The relationship between variables was determined using the Mann-Whitney U test, Kruskal-Wallis test, and multiple linear regression. Results: "Staffing and Workplace" (59.5%) and "Response to Error" (51.9%) were found the weakest composites. "Teamwork" (82.5%), and the "Organizational Learning-Continuous Improvement" (81.1%) were the highest positive composites. The rest of the composites were perceived positively. Nursing staff perceived the patient safety culture more positively than other professions (Mean Rank = 157.23, p-value. Conclusions: This study presents an assessment of patient safety culture among healthcare staff at a university hospital. The staff perceived the overall patient safety culture positively. However, efforts must be made to improve the two weak composites. A qualitative approach study could be done to explore deeply the reasons for this weakness in these composites. The demographics of the staff were not associated with patient safety culture.

Keywords: Patient Safety Culture, HSOPSC, Safety, Palestine.

BACKGROUND

Patient safety is defined as minimizing avoidable injuries or adverse events for patients and lowering the risk of unwanted harm from these injuries during the treatment process [1-2]. It is also defined as reducing and lessening the risk, mistakes, and harm that patients may experience when receiving medical care [3]. Patient safety is an important health concern [4-5]. It is considered the most important component of quality health care [6]. Patient safety culture is a component of organizational culture made up of employees' attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, values, and competencies concerning patient safety and the manner and competence of an institution's health and safety management [7].

The need for hospitals to create a culture of patient safety is growing along with the

need for high-quality healthcare [8]. Patient safety culture helps increase care quality, prevent mistakes, promote clinical outcomes, and lower healthcare expenditures [9]. It also helps reduce the number of undesirable incidents, which promotes patient safety and raises the standard of health care delivered [10]. However, there is a need to focus on system issues more than individuals, and this was highlighted in the report "To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System" to create a culture of safety [11]. To prevent these injuries and harm to patients, healthcare systems and providers must improve the patient safety culture [12]. Moreover, it has been suggested that for healthcare organizations to enhance the patient safety culture. There should be effective departmental collaboration, constant organizational training, supportive leadership,

262 -

sufficient staffing, acknowledging unfavorable events, non-punitive attitudes toward events, and error reporting [8].

Patient safety culture reflects how patient safety is perceived by the healthcare provider [13]. The positive perception of healthcare staff is an important sign of patient safety treatment that might minimize mortality and morbidity, as well as the cost of healthcare and days of the stay [14]. Measuring the perception of patient safety culture can help healthcare organizations improve the weak areas perceived by the staff concerning safety culture and safety practices and improve quality management processes [15]. Many studies have shown that the perception of patient safety culture may differ greatly depending on staff characteristics and sociodemographics [16]. A deeper understanding of these differences may help healthcare administrators design efficient interventions tailored to particular staff groups and levels to enhance the patient safety culture. Therefore, understanding and measuring the effect of staff characteristics on staff perceptions of patient safety culture is crucial [17-18].

In Palestine, the concept of safety culture is rapidly developing within hospitals. Numerous initiatives have been launched across various hospitals to enhance the patient safety culture [19]. Moreover, many studies assessing patient safety culture were conducted in Palestine [4, 19-26]. Despite the conducted studies, there was only one study that assessed patient safety culture in an accredited university hospital; however, it was conducted on nurses. There is no published study assessing patient safety culture among all healthcare staff in an accredited university hospital in Palestine and assessing the factors that might affect it.

Therefore, the current study aims to assess the patient safety culture among healthcare staff in an accredited academic university hospital, providing a baseline for the existing safety culture that could be used for benchmarking with other accredited university hospitals in the region and internationally. This assessment will assist the university hospital in enhancing its patient safety standards. It also aims to examine factors that may affect patient safety culture. This study will provide - "Perception and Factors Associated with"

highlights and guidance for decision-makers to strengthen the culture of safety in the hospital and compare the levels between different professionals and units.

METHODS

Study Design

This study used a quantitative cross-sectional design, based on the STROBE guide for reporting cross-sectional studies [27].

Settings and Population

This study was conducted at An-Najah National University Hospital (NNUH), a tertiary university hospital in Palestine. The hospital delivers a wide range of health services, like oncology, surgical and medical, nephrology, pediatric, and intensive care services. It has been an accredited hospital by the Joint Commission International (JCI) since 2020.

The study sample included all staff healthcare and non-healthcare- working at NNUH, regardless of their experience, work unit, or any other criteria. The total population was 600 staff. The only ones excluded were those who work for a third-party service like security.

Sample size calculation

The minimum sample size using a Raosoft® sample size calculator was 235, with a 5% margin of error, 95% confidence level, and 50% response distribution. These intervals are commonly used in this kind of study sampling because they strike a balance between precision and certainty. Using the Raosoft calculator is a commonly used approach for determining the sample size for such studies. 10% was added as an attrition rate to account for participants who might drop out. The final sample size was 258.

Instruments

Different approaches and methods were reported and recommended in the literature to evaluate and assess the culture of safety among healthcare providers; the quantitative methodology was the most commonly used [28-32]. The Hospital Survey of Patient Safety Culture (HSOPSC) tool is considered the most common tool used in the hospital setting [33-37]. Loai M. Zabin -

The survey used for this study consisted of two parts. The first part included demographic data for the participants, including gender and age, and the rest of the demographics were included in the second part of the survey. All of the demographic variables in the survey were categorical. The second part included the Surveys on Patient Safety Culture (SOPS®) Hospital Survey Version 2.0 (SOPS Hospital Survey 2.0). The study used the Arabic version of this tool, which was translated by the Saudi Patient Safety Center, and the researcher sought approval to use this version from the center and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). The HOSPSC was originally developed by the Agency of Health Research & Quality to assess the level of patient safety culture [38]. The updated version 2.0 was revised by the same agency in 2019, and it had very good reliability, ranging from 0.67 to 0.89 [39]. This tool is used to assess patient safety culture in hospitals and was used globally. The tool consists of 40 items, the first eight items ask participants how many events have been reported to provide an overall score for patient safety in their units and provide some background characteristics (working experience in the profession, hospital, and unit, working area and position, and interaction with patients). Thirty-two remaining items from the tool were grouped into 10 composites that assess areas of patient safety culture. These composites were "Communication About Error", "Communication Openness", "Handoffs and Information Exchange", "Hospital Management Support for Patient Safety", "Organizational Learning-Continuous Improvement", "Reporting Patient Safety Events", "Response to Error", "Staffing", "Work Pace, Supervisor, Manager, or Clinical Leader Support for Patient Safety", and "Teamwork". This tool used 5-point agreement scales "Strongly disagree", "Disagree", "Neither Agree nor Disagree", "Agree", "Strongly agree" or frequency scales ("Never", "Rarely", "Sometimes", "Most of the time", "Always"). It also included an option of "Does not apply or Don't know" and an open-ended comment at the end. The composite scores for the tool were computed using frequencies and the average positive item scores. The simplest way to present the results was by calculating the frequency of response

263

for each survey item. This was done after combining the two lowest response categories (Strongly disagree/Disagree and Never/Rarely) and the two highest response categories (Strongly agree/Agree and Most of the time/Always). The two lowest response categories are the negative and the highest response categories are the positive. To measure the composite percent positive score, the average of the percent positive score for each item included in the composite was calculated. The average of all composite scores gives the overall positive score of patient safety culture at the hospital.

Pilot study

The pilot study involved 10 employees who provided feedback on the comprehensibility and language clarity of the questions used in the data collection. These employees were from the same hospital and different fields of nursing, physicians, and quality officers. The researchers then took into account valid comments from the pilot study participants and made the necessary modifications to the survey. The comments were on the way the demographic section was presented on the online survey, and some typing errors in the items when they were written on the online platform. None of the comments were regarding the original instrument items. Finally, the modified version was reviewed by experts in the healthcare field; nurses, one physician, and one healthcare academic researcher, to ensure the content and construct validity, which refers to the degree to which the questions accurately measure what they are intended to measure. This process helps to ensure the quality and reliability of the data collected in the main study. The researchers then calculated the Cronbach alpha for the survey, which was found to be 0.80. The Cronbach alpha for composites ranged from 0.70 to 0.86. Overall, the tool's Cronbach alpha coefficient was greater than the advised value of 0.70. The outcome would not contain the data from the pilot test.

Data Collection and Analysis

The study was conducted using an online survey method between July 25, 2022, and August 10, 2022. The survey was transferred from the PDF format received from the original institution of AHRQ and re-written on the

- Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

264 -

SurveyMonkey® online platform which is a very famous website for making and distributing online surveys to targeted participants. The researcher added the introduction and demographic questions at the beginning of this survey. The introduction of the survey included information regarding the confidentiality and privacy of the data gathered. Staff were informed that their participation was voluntary and that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any time. The survey then was ready to be sent to the staff through their work emails. Once they clicked the link sent, the survey opened and was filled out online. The researcher sent a reminder email to those who did not complete the survey after one week of sending the primary email.

The data was gathered from the online platform for the completed surveys. The data was then cleaned and coded using Microsoft Excel 2021. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) v26 was used to analyze the data using descriptive statistics and inferential statistics. The data was not normally distributed based on the Shapiro-Wilk test (p-value <0.001). The most common tests for normality are the Shapiro-Wilk test and Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Shapiro-Wilk test is often suggested by some researchers as the optimal method for determining if data follows a normal distribution [40]. Therefore, non-parametric tests were used. Man-Whitney, Kruskal-Wallis, and multiple linear regression were used to find the relations between the variables. Significance was considered when the P-value was < 0.05.

The total score of patient safety culture composites was considered the continuous

variable in the analysis. However, the demographic characteristics and work characteristics of the participants were all considered categorical variables. For measuring the composites of patient safety culture, the AHRQ Hospital Survey on Patient Safety Culture Version 2.0 User's Guide was used [41].

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

The survey was sent to 600 employees. 265 completed surveys were returned. Male participants were the majority (55.5%, n = 147), while females were 44.5% (n = 118). Most of the participants' ages were between 30 and 34 years (37%, n = 98) and between 25 and 29 years (30.9%, n = 82). The majority of participants were working in Patient Care Units (41.5%, n = 110), and 77.4% of the participants (n = 205) had direct contact or interaction with patients. Nurses were the majority of the participants in this study (46.4%, n = 127); the rest of the participants were from different positions, as can be seen in Table 1.

Most of the participants worked in their current profession or specialty for 6 to 10 years (41.1%, n = 109) and from 1 to 5 years (35.8%, n = 95). However, most of them worked in this hospital for 1 to 5 years (50.2%, n = 133) and from 6 to 10 years (46%, n = 122). When looking into their experience in the current unit or work area, most of them were working for 1 to 5 years (67.9%, n = 180). The majority of the participants, accounting for 64.2% (n = 170), were working between 30 and 40 hours per week. The rest of the demographic characteristics of the participants can be seen in Table 1.

Variable	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	147	55.5 %
	Female	118	44.5 %
Age	Less than 25 years	32	12.1 %
	25 - 29	82	30.9 %
	30 - 34	98	37 %
	35 - 39	31	11.7 %
	40 and above	22	8.3 %

Table (1): Characteristics of participants.

Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278 -

Variable	Categories	Frequency	Percentage
Total experience in the profes-	Less than 1 year	2	0.8 %
sion/specialty	1 to 5 years	95	35.8 %
	6 to 10 years	109	41.1 %
	11 or more years	59	22.3 %
Experience in the current hospi-	Less than 1 year	8	3 %
tal	1 to 5 years	133	50.2 %
	6 to 10 years	122	46 %
	11 or more years	2	0.8 %
Experience in current unit/work	Less than 1 year	17	6.4 %
area	1 to 5 years	180	67.9 %
	6 to 10 years	68	25.7 %
	11 or more years	-	-
Total working hours per week	Less than 30 hours per week	14	5.3 %
	30 to 40 hours per week	170	64.2 %
	More than 40 hours per week	81	30.6 %
Position in this hospital	Nursing	127	47.9 %
	Supervisor, Manager, Clinical Leader, Senior Leader	37	14 %
	Medical	28	10.6 %
	Support	29	10.9 %
	Other Clinical Positions	44	16.6 %
Primary area of work in this	Multiple Units - No specific unit	26	9.8 %
hospital	Surgical Services	20	7.5 %
	Support Services	8	3 %
	Medical/Surgical Units	33	12.5 %
	Clinical Services	32	12.1 %
	Patient Care Units	110	41.5 %
	Administration/Management	17	6.4 %
	Other	19	7.2 %
Do you recommend your family	Yes	242	91.3 %
members or loved ones be treated in this hospital?	No	23	8.7 %
Direct interaction or contact	Yes	205	77.4 %
with patients?	No	60	22.6 %
Total R	Responses	265	100 %

Safety culture perception of the participants

The total average positive score of the ten composites was 71.24%, ranging from 51.9% to 82.5%. The findings of the study showed that patient safety ratings by the staff ranged between very good (43%, n = 114) and excellent (38.3%, n = 106). However, very few of them rated patient safety as poor (2.6%, n = 7) or fair (4.5%, n = 12).

The participating staff at the hospital had a positive perception of most of the compo-

Table (2): Patient Safety Culture Compo	osites.
---	---------

sites of the patient safety culture. The composite that received the highest percentage was "Teamwork", with a score of 82.5%. This was closely followed by the "Organizational Learning—Continuous Improvement" composite, which scored 81.1%. The remaining composites also showed positive scores (see Table 2). However, the "Response to Error" composite received the lowest score at 51.9%, and the "Staffing and Work Place" composite scored slightly higher at 59.5%.

Item Num- ber	Composite / Item	Strongl y Disa- gree / Disa- gree OR Never / Rarely	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree / Strongly Agree OR Most of the time / Al- ways	Total Re- sponses	Average Percent Positive Response
1	Teamwork					82.5%
A1	In this unit, we work together as an effective team	13	18	234	278	88.3%
A8	During busy times, staff in this unit help each other.	15	27	219	274	83.9%
A9	There is a problem with disre- spectful behavior by those working in this unit. (nega- tively worded)	197	30	35	274	75.2%
2	Staffing and Work Place					59.5%
A2	In this unit, we have enough staff to handle the workload.	72	33	159	277	60.2%
A3	Staff in this unit work longer hours than is best for patient care. (negatively worded)	145	43	67	267	56.9%
A5	This unit relies too much on temporary, float, or PRN staff. (negatively worded)	144	41	59	257	59.0%
A11	The work pace in this unit is so rushed that it negatively af- fects patient safety. (nega- tively worded)	158	49	48	267	62.0%
3	Organizational Learning— Continuous Improvement					81.1%
A4	This unit regularly reviews work processes to determine if changes are needed to im- prove patient safety.	16	25	217	271	84.1%

Loai M. Zabin ————

INeither Agree nor Disagree31305753	Agree / Strongly Agree OR Most of the time / Al- ways 202 24 24 86 86	Total Responses 264 269 272	Average Percent Positive Response 80.2% 78.9% 51.9% 45.0%
30	24	269	78.9% 51.9%
57	86		51.9%
57	86		51.9%
		272	
		272	45.0%
53	94		
		273	43.7%
36	188	278	70.9%
78	52	263	48.0%
			75.9%
33	208	272	80.0%
43	45	273	66.4%
35	211	272	81.2%
			76.8%
49	188	268	73.4%
	78 33 43 35	78 52 78 52 33 208 43 45 35 211	78 52 263 78 52 263 33 208 272 43 45 273 35 211 272 1 1 272 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

- Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

_____ 267

268 — "Perception and Factors Associated with"

		Strongl				
Item Num- ber	Composite / Item	y Disa- gree / Disa- gree OR Never / Rarely	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree / Strongly Agree OR Most of the time / Al- ways	Total Re- sponses	Average Percent Positive Response
C2	When errors happen in this	15	40	204	270	78.8%
	unit, we discuss ways to pre- vent them from happening again.					
C3	In this unit, we are informed about changes that are made based on event reports.	19	37	202	268	78.3%
7	Communication Openness					69.9%
C4	In this unit, staff speak up if they see something that may negatively affect patient care.	9	27	218	266	85.8%
C5	When staff in this unit see someone with more authority doing something unsafe for patients, they speak up.	45	41	149	245	63.4%
C6	When staff in this unit speak up, those with more authority are open to their patient safety concerns.	26	53	167	256	67.9%
C7	In this unit, staff are afraid to ask questions when some- thing does not seem right. (negatively worded)	151	47	44	252	62.4%
8	Reporting Patient Safety Events					71.7%
D1	When a mistake is caught and corrected before reaching the patient, how often is this re- ported?	33	47	160	251	66.7%
D2	When a mistake reaches the patient and could have harmed the patient, but did not, how often is this re- ported?	18	37	182	248	76.8%
9	Hospital Management Sup- port for Patient Safety					72.4%
F1	The actions of hospital man- agement show that patient safety is a top priority.	15	22	224	273	85.8%
F2	Hospital management pro- vides adequate resources to improve patient safety.	15	17	226	271	87.6%
F3	Hospital management seems interested in patient safety	111	55	87	265	43.9%

Item Num- ber	Composite / Item	Strongl y Disa- gree / Disa- gree OR Never / Rarely	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Agree / Strongly Agree OR Most of the time / Al- ways	Total Re- sponses	Average Percent Positive Response
	only after an adverse event					
10	happens. (negatively worded)					
10	Handoffs and Information					70.7%
	Exchange					
F4	When transferring patients from one unit to another, im- portant information is often left out. (negatively worded)	153	44	35	242	65.9%
F5	During shift changes, im- portant patient care infor- mation is often left out. (neg- atively worded)	159	40	26	235	70.7%
F6	During shift changes, there is adequate time to exchange all key patient care information.	24	32	173	238	75.5%
Overal	l Perception			·		71.24%
	Number of Events Reported	None	1 to 2	3 to 5	6 to 10	11 or more
D3	In the past 12 months, how many patient safety events have you reported?	129 (48.7 %)	67 (25.3%)	46 (17.4%)	13 (4.9%)	10 (3.6%)
	Patient Safety Rating	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	Excellent
E1	How would you rate your unit/work area on patient safety?	7 (2.6%)	12 (4.5%)	30 (11.3%)	114 (43%)	106 (38.3%)

Factors associated with patient safety culture

This study found that nursing staff perceived a patient safety culture more positively than any other position in the hospital (Mean Rank =157.23, p-value <0.001). Staff who work in patient care units perceived patient safety culture more positively than other staff who work in other units in the hospital (Mean Rank =150.86, p-value =0.007). The study also found that staff who had direct contact or interaction with patients had a more positive perception of the patient safety culture (Mean Rank =141.90, p-value <0.001). Other variables like gender, age, experience in the current profession, experience in the hospital, experience in the current unit, and working hours per week were found not to be associated with patient safety culture (see Table 3).

Table (3): F	Factors associated	with patient	safety culture.
---------------------	--------------------	--------------	-----------------

Item	Category	Ν	Mean Rank	Median [Q1–Q3]	P-value
Gender	Male	147	137.64	108.00 [99.00–112.00]	0.271
	Female	118	127.22	105.00 [95.00–112.00]	

- 269

270 — "Perception and Factors Associated with"

Item	Category	N	Mean Rank	Median [Q1–Q3]	P-value
Age	Less than 25	32	142.63	107.00 [100.75-	0.460
	25 - 29	82	143.26	112.50] 108.00 [100.00–	_
	25-27	02	143.20	113.00]	
	30 - 34	98	126.81	106.00 [96.75–112.00]	
	35 - 39	31	120.66	105.00 [91.00–111.00]	
	40 and above	22	125.70	104.50 [91.25–112.75]	
Position in this hospi-	Nursing	127	157.23	109.00 [103.00- 113.00]	<0.001*
tal	Supervisor, Manager, Clin- ical Leader, Senior Leader	37	139.74	108.00 [99.50–112.00]	
	Medical	28	109.18	98.00 [85.75–113.50]	
	Support	29	88.29	99.00 [76.00–109.00]	1
	Other Clinical Positions	44	102.01	102.00 [92.50–107.50]	
Primary unit or	Multiple Units - No spe- cific unit	26	104.17	103.00 [89.25–110.25]	0.007*
work area of this hos-	Surgical Services	20	121.05	105.00 [98.00–112.00]	
pital	Support Services	8	95.19	98.50 [82.00–110.25]	
	Medical/Surgical Units	33	150.36	109.00 [99.50–113.00]	-
	Clinical Services	32	112.69	101.00 [92.50–109.75]	
	Patient Care Units	110	150.86	108.00 [102.75– 113.00]	-
	Administration/Manage- ment	17	134.50	109.00 [101.00– 111.50]	
	Other	19	100.24	104.00 [75.00–109.00]	
Experience in the cur-	Less than 1 year	2	84.50	100.50 [98.00-0]	0.745
rent profes-	1 to 5 years	95	133.95	106.00 [98.00–112.00]	
sion	6 to 10 years	109	135.85	107.00 [98.50–113.00]	
	11 or more years	59	127.85	106.00 [97.00–111.00]	
Experience in hospital	Less than 1 year	8	129.50	103.50 [97.25–114.00]	0.432
in nospitai	1 to 5 years	133	129.55	106.00 [95.00–112.00]	1
	6 to 10 years	122	135.64	107.50 [99.00–113.00]	1
	11 or more years	2	215.50	115.00 [111.00–0]	1
	Less than 1 year	17	140.41	109.00 [99.50–114.00]	0.724

Loai M. Zabin-

Item	Category	Ν	Mean Rank	Median [Q1–Q3]	P-value
Experience in the cur-	1 to 5 years	180	134.55	106.50 [98.25–112.00]	
rent unit	6 to 10 years	68	127.04	105.50 [94.50–111.75]	
Working Hours per	Less than 30 hours per week	14	148.86	109.00 [98.75–114.75]	0.292
week	30 to 40 hours per week	170	136.63	107.00 [99.75–112.00]	
	More than 40 hours per week	81	122.65	105.00 [91.50–112.50]	
Direct In- teraction or	Yes	205	141.90	108.00 [99.50–113.00]	<0.001*
contact with pa-	No	60	102.60	102.50 [88.25–109.00]	
tients					
*Significance	<i>level p< 0.05</i>				

Predictors of patient safety culture

After using multiple linear regression analysis, this study showed that there was a statistically significant association between the total score of patient safety culture composites and staff position in the hospital (p-value = 0.003, VIF = 1.179). The study also showed a statistically significant association between those who have direct interaction or contact with patients and the total score of patient safety culture composites (p-value = 0.003, VIF = 1.208). However, the regression showed no significant association between the total score of the patient safety culture composites and the staff's primary working area or unit (p-value = 0.705, VIF = 1.027) (see Table 4).

Predictor	Ь	В	t	p- value	95.0% Confidence Interval		VIF
					Lower	upper	,
Constant	114.409		41.561	<.001	108.989	119.830	
Position in this hospital	623	194	-3.047	.003*	-1.026	220	1.179
Primary unit or work area of this hospital	.037	.023	.379	.705	156	.230	1.027
In your staff position, do you typically have direct interaction or contact with patients?	-6.712	194	-3.005	.003*	-11.110	-2.314	1.208

Table (4): Predictors of patient safety culture.

R = .321; R Square = .101; adjusted R Square = .093, F = 9.983, df = 3, p = 0.000 **Significance level p*< 0.05

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to assess the perception of patient safety culture in a university hospital in Palestine. The survey link was distributed through work emails, and a reminder was sent a week later. The author stopped the survey link after two weeks because the required calculated sample size of completed surveys was reached.

The study found that staff perceived most of the composites of safety culture positively. However, the highest positive composite was "Teamwork" and "Organizational Learning— Continuous Improvement". This result was

- Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

- 271

like the results of different studies [15, 42-46]. The Agency of Healthcare Research & Quality also reported in its user database report that "Teamwork" was the highest positive composite (82%) as perceived by healthcare staff [47]. This is also similar to what was found by [46, 48-50] that teamwork was the most positive composite perceived by the staff.

The study revealed two areas that need improvement: "Staffing and Workplace" and "Response to Error". These two composites are considered weak according to the AHRQ survey guide, which recommends considering areas below 0.60 as weak [41]. The results are consistent with other studies [45, 49, 51-53] which revealed that the composite of staffing and response to error was the lowest perceived composite by the staff in hospitals. "Staffing" was also reported as the weakest composite by Laborde et al. [54] and Granel-Giménez et al. [50]. Staffing is an important issue when it comes to patient safety, and it is correlated with working conditions that may affect patient safety [55]. Nursing administration could improve staff working conditions by managing nurse-to-patient ratios and reducing workload, as these issues were found to influence the perception of safety culture [56, 57]. The staff working at this hospital are still young and the hospital is new; the accreditation standards require efforts from the staff, who may find themselves stressed and working under pressure, and thus may increase the chances of making errors. Working in areas with no optimum staffing and with work overload could increase staff stress and burnout and affect their work performance, which may affect their perception of patient safety culture [53].

When adverse events are reported, health organizations can study these events and take preventative action to reduce the likelihood of future occurrences. Many studies have found that staff may feel uncomfortable or have a feeling of fear of reporting events that occurred [14]. The weakness revealed in the composite of "Repones to error" was like what was found in other studies [1, 14, 42, 58]. The apprehension of potential disciplinary action is a significant deterrent for staff members when it comes to reporting mistakes [58, 59]. Therefore, hospitals and healthcare systems need to stop criticizing their staff for mistakes

- "Perception and Factors Associated with"

and start looking at mistakes as an opportunity to learn, enhance the system, and protect patients. To encourage staff to discuss errors and report mistakes, managers must constantly interact with and provide feedback to their teams about mistakes and events that occur [60]. They also have to educate staff about the benefits of reporting events and mistakes to improve the patient safety culture.

The study showed that nurses had a more positive perception of patient safety culture compared to other healthcare professionals and non-clinical staff. A study conducted by Tran et al. [61] in public hospitals in Vietnam found that nurses perceived certain aspects of the safety culture significantly more than physicians and other clinicians. This is also congruent with a study conducted by Stoyanova et al. [52] and Rajalatchumi et al. [62] that found that nurses positively perceived safety culture more than other healthcare professionals. This could be due to the nature of nurses' work, as they are the first-line treatment personnel with patients, and have more direct contact with patients involving medication administration and other safety-related procedures. This positive response by nurses may be because the nursing staff has control over the majority of the reporting systems. However, other studies found that physicians perceived patient safety culture better than other professionals [63, 64]. This may reflect that the perception of patient safety culture may differ among healthcare professionals in different healthcare settings.

Other results of the study showed that staff with direct contact with the patient perceived patient safety culture more positively than those who did not have direct contact or interaction with patients. This result may be due to the improved comprehension of healthcare professionals working with patients directly, enabling them to quickly and accurately understand the patient's needs and concerns. However, the results were not in line with similar studies like those conducted in Ethiopia by Kumbi et al. [15].

The study revealed that other factors such as age, gender, educational level, marital status, experience in the hospital, and experience in the profession have no significant impact on patient safety culture. These results are in line

Loai M. Zabin -

with similar studies. For instance, age was found to not be significantly related to patient safety culture Abu-El-Noor et al. [14]; Ayisa et al. [46]; and Kumbi et al. [15]. This may be because most of the hospital staff were young and in the middle age groups, and their ages are close to each other. Another variable that is not related to patient safety culture is gender. This is in agreement with previous studies by Gambashidze et al. [16]; Kumbi et al. [15]; Odu et al. [65]; and Østergaard et al. [66]. This might need more focus to investigate the gender differences in perceptions for each dismission of safety culture, or using qualitative methods. Another example of a variable not significantly related to patient safety culture was "experience in the profession". This is in line with similar studies by Abu-El-Noor et al. [14]; Ayisa et al. [46]; and Huong Tran et al. [44]. This result might be because the staff were young and did not have too much experience in the profession; most of them were employed in this hospital from the beginning of their careers.

Study Limitations

The study used a widely used and wellvalidated tool, and it was conducted in a large tertiary hospital that has made huge efforts to improve the safety of its patients in Palestine. The study used a cross-sectional approach to examine the patient safety culture at a specific point using the online survey method for a short period. The results do not reflect NNUH's continuous efforts to enhance patient safety. Moreover, the study was conducted at a single tertiary hospital, so it is better to conduct the study in multiple hospitals in Palestine to enhance the generalizability of the result. Future studies using qualitative approaches can be conducted to better understand the experiences of healthcare staff with a patient safety culture.

CONCLUSIONS

This study presents an overall assessment of patient safety culture perception among healthcare staff in an accredited university hospital using HSOPSC v2.0. "The participants reported a positive perception in most of the composites. However, they identified two composites that need improvements: "Staffing and Workplace" and "Response to Error". Despite the weaknesses in these two composites, it is considered not extreme." To improve patient safety culture, hospital administrators must implement just culture principles, encourage team training, develop clear patient safety programs, mobilize resources to reallocate and increase staff numbers in the units. enhance the regulations to promote non-punitive reporting, stop blaming the staff, and start to improve the processes and the system. They must continuously communicate with their staff on the importance of error reporting to improve the patient safety culture. Moreover, the management of the hospital must put more effort into exhibiting a patient safety culturefocused leadership style that extends to clinical practice. They must also encourage the practice of safety culture when dealing with various event reports. The hospital must continue and increase its efforts to include safety training in its learning programs. The study shows how nurses are more positive toward patient safety culture because they have more direct contact with patients. Hospital administrators must motivate these staff and encourage their participation in decision-making regarding patient safety.

The results of this study may help in policy improvements that aim to enhance the systems and processes that control hospital safety measures. It gives hospital administration an insight into challenges and areas that may need enhancements and a comparison between different units and positions, which will ease and guide the enhancement projects more easily.

List of abbreviations

NNUH, An-Najah National University Hospital; JCIA, Joint Commission International Accreditation; HSOPSC, Hospital Survey of Patient Safety Culture; AHRQ, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; PSC, Patient Safety Culture; SOPS®, Surveys on Patient Safety Culture; IRB, Institutional Review Board; SPSS: Statistical Software Package for the Social Sciences; Q1: First quartile; Q3: Third quartile;

DECLARATIONS

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Arab American University has approved

- Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

274 -

the current study under the reference number [2023/A/44/N]. Completion of the survey was presumed as informed consent since the survey was sent through emails by the Survey-Monkey® account. The cover page also explained the study objectives and the way to answer questions. Participation was voluntary and anonymous. The primary researcher's phone number was made available to participants for any inquiries or information. The information gathered was used solely for research needs and was then held anonymous and confidential. No incentives were given to the participants to fill out the survey. The requirement for written informed consent was waived by the Ethical Committee of An-Najah National University Hospital since the study was deemed a minimal risk and all methods were carried out following relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

The data sets supporting the current research results are stored on a personal hard drive and available from the corresponding author upon request.

Competing interests

The author declares that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LZ designed the study, reviewed the literature, performed data collection and data analysis, and wrote the draft manuscript. He also coordinated the data, critically reviewed the manuscript to improve intellectual content, and reviewed the final manuscript.

FUNDING

None

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Author is grateful to the Clinical Research Center at An-Najah National University Hospital. The author also thanks Mr. Abdullah Abdullah who is the Chief Quality Officer at An-Najah National University Hospital for his support.

REFERENCES

- Al Lawati MH, Short SD, Abdulhadi NN, Panchatcharam SM, Dennis S. Assessment of patient safety culture in primary health care in Muscat, Oman: a questionnaire -based survey. BMC Fam Pract. 2019;20(1):50.
- 2] Mohamed S, Rushdy F. Patient safety culture as perceived by intern-ship nursing students. International Journal of Advanced Nursing Studies. 2017;6(2):4.
- 3] World Health Organization. *Patient Safety*: World Health Organization; 2019 [cited 2022 20 July]. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-</u> <u>sheets/detail/patient-safety</u>.
- 4] Alshyyab MA, Albsoul R, Fitzgerald G. Factors influencing patient safety culture in operating room in a teaching hospital in Jordan: a qualitative descriptive study. The TQM Journal. 2022;35(7):1722-42.
- 5] Di Simone E, Giannetta N, Auddino F, Cicotto A, Grilli D, Di Muzio M. Medication Errors in the Emergency Department: Knowledge, Attitude, Behavior, and Training Needs of Nurses. Indian J Crit Care Med. 2018;22(5):346-52.
- 6] Rajalatchumi A, Ravikumar TS, Muruganandham K, Thulasingam M, Selvaraj K, Reddy MM, Jayaraman B. Perception of patient safety culture among health-care providers in a tertiary care hospital, South India. Journal of natural science, biology, and medicine. 2018;9(1):14.
- 7] Malak MZ, Salouk J, Al-Shawawreh R, Al-Kamiseh H, Ayed A. Perceptions of patient safety culture among emergency room nurses in Jordanian accredited hospitals. J Nurs Manag. 2022;30(7):3131-8.
- 8] Aljaffary A, Al Yaqoub F, Al Madani R, Aldossary H, Alumran A. Patient Safety Culture in a Teaching Hospital in Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia: Assessment and Opportunities for Improvement. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021;14:3783-95.

Loai M. Zabin -

- 9] Ginsburg L, Gilin Oore D. Patient safety climate strength: a concept that requires more attention. BMJ Qual Saf. 2016;25(9):680-7.
- 10] Kim KJ, Yoo MS, Seo EJ. Exploring the Influence of Nursing Work Environment and Patient Safety Culture on Missed Nursing Care in Korea. Asian Nurs Res (Korean Soc Nurs Sci). 2018;12(2):121-6.
- 11] Kohn L. Corrigan J, ed, Donaldson M, ed. To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: National Academy Press; 1999.
- 12] Hadad E, Abd Elrhmaan S, Ahmad F, Ali H. Perceptions of Staff Nurses about Patient Safety Culture at Minia General Hospital. Minia Scientific Nursing Journal. 2021;0(0):68-77.
- 13] Danielsson M, Nilsen P, Rutberg H, Arestedt K. A National Study of Patient Safety Culture in Hospitals in Sweden. J Patient Saf. 2019;15(4):328-33.
- 14] Abu-El-Noor NI, Abu-El-Noor MK, Abuowda YZ, Alfaqawi M, Bottcher B. Patient safety culture among nurses working in Palestinian governmental hospital: a pathway to a new policy. BMC Health Serv Res. 2019;19(1):550.
- 15] Kumbi M, Hussen A, Lette A, Nuriye S, Morka G. Patient Safety Culture and Associated Factors Among Health Care Providers in Bale Zone Hospitals, Southeast Ethiopia: An Institutional Based Cross-Sectional Study. Drug Healthc Patient Saf. 2020;12:1-14.
- 16] Gambashidze N, Hammer A, Wagner A, Rieger MA, Brosterhaus M, Van Vegten A, et al. Influence of Gender, Profession, and Managerial Function on Clinicians' Perceptions of Patient Safety Culture: A Cross-National Cross-Sectional Study. J Patient Saf. 2021;17(4):e280-e7.
- 17] Skoogh A, Baath C, Hall-Lord ML. Healthcare professionals' perceptions of patient safety culture and teamwork in intrapartum care: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2022;22(1):820.

- [18] Granel N, Manresa-Dominguez JM, Watson CE, Gomez-Ibanez R, Bernabeu-Tamayo MD. Nurses' perceptions of patient safety culture: a mixed-methods study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020;20(1):584.
- 19] Zabin LM, Zaitoun RSA, Abdullah AA. Patient safety culture in Palestine: university hospital nurses' perspectives. BMC Nurs. 2022;21(1):204.
- 20] Abu-El-Noor NI, Abu-El-Noor MK, Abuowda YZ, Alfaqawi M, Böttcher B. Patient safety culture among nurses working in Palestinian governmental hospital: a pathway to a new policy. BMC health services research. 2019;19(1):1-11.
- 21] Elsous A, Akbari Sari A, AlJeesh Y, Radwan M. Nursing perceptions of patient safety climate in the Gaza Strip, Palestine. Int Nurs Rev. 2017;64(3):446-54.
- 22] Hamdan M. Measuring safety culture in Palestinian neonatal intensive care units using the Safety Attitudes Questionnaire. J Crit Care. 2013;28(5):886 e7-14.
- 23] Hamdan M, Saleem AA. Assessment of patient safety culture in Palestinian public hospitals. Int J Qual Health Care. 2013;25(2):167-75.
- 24] Hamdan M, Saleem AA. Changes in Patient Safety Culture in Palestinian Public Hospitals: Impact of Quality and Patient Safety Initiatives and Programs. J Patient Saf. 2018;14(3):e67-e73.
- 25] Najjar S, Baillien E, Vanhaecht K, Hamdan M, Euwema M, Vleugels A, et al. Similarities and differences in the associations between patient safety culture dimensions and self-reported outcomes in two different cultural settings: a national cross-sectional study in Palestinian and Belgian hospitals. BMJ Open. 2018;8(7):e021504.
- 26] Najjar S, Hamdan M, Baillien E, Vleugels A, Euwema M, Sermeus W, et al. The Arabic version of the hospital survey on patient safety culture: a psychometric evaluation in a Palestinian

- Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

sample. BMC Health Serv Res. 2013;13(1):193.

- 27] von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gotzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, Initiative S. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Lancet. 2007;370(9596):1453-7.
- 28] Al Hamid A, Malik A, Alyatama S. An exploration of patient safety culture in Kuwait hospitals: a qualitative study of healthcare professionals' perspectives. Int J Pharm Pract. 2020;28(6):617-25.
- 29] Alsaleh FM, Abahussain EA, Altabaa HH, Al-Bazzaz MF, Almandil NB. Assessment of patient safety culture: a nationwide survey of community pharmacists in Kuwait. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):884.
- 30] Naser AY, Alsairafi ZK, Awaisu A, Alwafi H, Awwad O, Dahmash EZ, et al. Attitudes of pharmacy students towards patient safety: a cross-sectional study from six developing countries. BMJ Open. 2020;10(12):e039459.
- 31] Silva NDMd, Barbosa AP, Padilha KG, Malik AM. Patient safety in organizational culture as perceived by leaderships of hospital institutions with different types of administration. Revista da Escola de Enfermagem da USP. 2016;50:0490-7.
- 32] Simsekler MCE, Qazi A, Alalami MA, Ellahham S, Ozonoff A. Evaluation of patient safety culture using a random forest algorithm. Reliability Engineering & System Safety. 2020;204:107186.
- 33] Ali H, Ibrahem SZ, Al Mudaf B, Al Fadalah T, Jamal D, El-Jardali F. Baseline assessment of patient safety culture in public hospitals in Kuwait. BMC Health Serv Res. 2018;18(1):158.
- 34] Campione J, Famolaro T. Promising Practices for Improving Hospital Patient Safety Culture. Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf. 2018;44(1):23-32.
- 35] Elmontsri M, Almashrafi A, Banarsee R, Majeed A. Status of patient safety culture

in Arab countries: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2017;7(2):e013487.

- 36] Sorra J, Gray L, Famolaro T, Yount N, Behm J. AHRQ medical office survey on patient safety culture: User's guide.(prepared by Westat, under contract no. HHSA290201300003C). Survey guide Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: Rockville, MD, USA. 2018.
- 37] Tereanu C, Smith SA, Sampietro G, Sarnataro F, Mazzoleni G, Pesenti B, et al. Experimenting the hospital survey on patient safety culture in prevention facilities in Italy: psychometric properties. Int J Qual Health Care. 2017;29(2):269-75.
- 38] Nieva VF, Sorra J. Safety culture assessment: a tool for improving patient safety in healthcare organizations. Qual Saf Health Care. 2003;12 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):ii17-23.
- 39] Sorra J, Famolaro T, Yount N. Transitioning to the SOPS[™] hospital survey version 2.0: what's different and what to expect, part I: main report. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2019.
- 40] Thode HC. Testing for normality: CRC press; 2002.
- Sorra J, Yount N, Famolaro T, Gray L. AHRQ Hospital survey on patient safety culture version 2.0: user's guide. Rockville: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. 2019.
- 42] Zabin LM, Zaitoun RSA, Abdullah AA. Patient safety culture in Palestine: university hospital nurses' perspectives. BMC Nursing. 2022;21(1):204.
- 43] T AL, Al Mudaf B, Alghanim HA, Al Salem G, Ali D, Abdelwahab HM, Elamir H. Baseline assessment of patient safety culture in primary care centres in Kuwait: a national cross-sectional study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021;21(1):1172.
- 44] Huong Tran L, Thanh Pham Q, Nguyen DH, Tran TNH, Bui TTH. Assessment of Patient Safety Culture in Public General Hospital in Capital City of Vietnam.

Palestinian Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal (PMPJ). 2024; 9(2): 261-278

276 -

Health Serv Insights. 2021;14:11786329211036313.

- 45] Tran HTN, Pham TQ, Tran HL, Nguyen HD, Nguyen TM, Bui HTT. Patient Safety Culture in 2 Public Hospitals in Vietnam: A Mixed Method Study. J Nurs Care Qual. 2022;37(3):E39-E47.
- 46] Ayisa A, Getahun Y, Yesuf N. Patient Safety Culture and Associated Factors Among Health-Care Providers in the University of Gondar Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. Drug Healthc Patient Saf. 2021;13:141-50.
- 47] Westat R, MD, Theresa Famolaro MPS, M.S., M.B.A., Ryan Hare MPP, Naomi Dyer Yount PD, Lei Fan MD, Ph.D., Liu H, Joann Sorra PD. Surveys on Patient Safety CultureTM (SOPS®) Hospital Survey 2.0: 2021 User Database Report. Services USDoHaH; 2021 2021 User Database Report Contract No.: 21-0017.
- 48] Mohammed F, Taddele M, Gualu T. Patient safety culture and associated factors among health care professionals at public hospitals in Dessie town, north east Ethiopia, 2019. PLoS One. 2021;16(2):e0245966.
- 49] Ali Ali HM, Abdul-Aziz AM, Darwish EAF, Swelem MS, Sultan EA. Assessment of patient safety culture among the staff of the University Hospital for Gynecology and Obstetrics in Alexandria, Egypt. J Egypt Public Health Assoc. 2022;97(1):20.
- 50] Granel-Gimenez N, Palmieri PA, Watson-Badia CE, Gomez-Ibanez R, Leyva-Moral JM, Bernabeu-Tamayo MD. Patient Safety Culture in European Hospitals: A Comparative Mixed Methods Study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(2).
- 51] Galvao TF, Lopes MCC, Oliva CCC, Araujo MEA, Silva MT. Patient safety culture in a university hospital. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2018;26:e3014.
- 52] Stoyanova R, Dimova R, Tornyova B, Mavrov M, Elkova H. Perception of

Patient Safety Culture among Hospital Staff. Zdr Varst. 2021;60(2):97-104.

- 53] El-Jardali F, Sheikh F, Garcia NA, Jamal D, Abdo A. Patient safety culture in a large teaching hospital in Riyadh: baseline assessment, comparative analysis and opportunities for improvement. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14(1):122.
- 54] Mella Laborde M, Gea Velazquez MT, Aranaz Andres JM, Ramos Forner G, Compan Rosique AF. [Analysis of the patient safety culture in a university hospital]. Gac Sanit. 2020;34(5):500-13.
- 55] Welp A, Manser T. Integrating teamwork, clinician occupational wellbeing and patient safety - development of a conceptual framework based on a systematic review. BMC Health Serv Res. 2016;16(1):281.
- 56] Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Bruyneel L, Van den Heede K, Griffiths P, Busse R, et al. Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: a retrospective observational study. Lancet. 2014;383(9931):1824-30.
- 57] Hayashi R, Fujita S, Iida S, Nagai Y, Shimamori Y, Hasegawa T. Relationship of patient safety culture with factors influencing working environment such as working hours, the number of night shifts, and the number of days off among healthcare workers in Japan: a crosssectional study. BMC Health Serv Res. 2020;20(1):310.
- 58] Al-Mandhari A, Al-Zakwani I, Al-Kindi M, Tawilah J, Dorvlo AS, Al-Adawi S. Patient safety culture assessment in oman. Oman Med J. 2014;29(4):264-70.
- 59] Mahat S, Rafferty AM, Vehvilainen-Julkunen K, Harkanen M. Negative emotions experienced by healthcare staff following medication administration errors: a descriptive study using textmining and content analysis of incident data. BMC Health Serv Res. 2022;22(1):1474.
- 60] Ammouri AA, Tailakh AK, Muliira JK, Geethakrishnan R, Al Kindi SN. Patient

safety culture among nurses. Int Nurs Rev. 2015;62(1):102-10.

- 61] Tran TNH, Pham QT, Tran LH, Vu TA, Nguyen MT, Pham HT, et al. Comparison of Perceptions About Patient Safety Culture Between Physicians and Nurses in Public Hospitals in Vietnam. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2022;15:1695-704.
- 62] Rajalatchumi A, Ravikumar TS, Muruganandham K, Thulasingam M, Selvaraj K, Reddy MM, Jayaraman B. Perception of Patient Safety Culture among Health-care Providers in a Tertiary Care Hospital, South India. J Nat Sci Biol Med. 2018;9(1):14-8.
- 63] Gomides MDA, Fontes AMS, Silveira A, Sadoyama G. Patient safety culture in the intensive care unit: cross-study. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2019;13(6):496-503.
- 64] Verbeek-Van Noord I, Wagner C, Van Dyck C, Twisk JW, De Bruijne MC. Is culture associated with patient safety in the emergency department? A study of staff perspectives. International journal for quality in health care. 2014;26(1):64-70.
- 65] Odu J, Rahmawati HT, Juliana J. Safety culture among the staff at a public university in Malaysia. International Journal of Public Health and Clinical Sciences. 2018;5(4):191-74.
- 66] Ostergaard D, Madsen MD, Ersboll AK, Frappart HS, Kure JH, Kristensen S. Patient safety culture and associated factors in secondary health care of the Capital Region of Denmark: influence of specialty, healthcare profession and gender. BMJ Open Qual. 2022;11(4):e001908.