Natural Sciences



Generalizations of 2 – Absorbing Primary Hyperideals of

Multiplicative Hyperrings

Received 25/2/2023, Accepted 22/6/2023, DOI: 10.35552/anujr.a.37.2.2117

Mohammad Hamoda^{1,*}

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce the concept of $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings. Several results concerning $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals are proved. We prove under certain conditions that the intersection of two $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals is also a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal. Examples of $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals are also studied.

Keywords: Multiplicative hyperring, hyperideal, prime hyperideal.

Introduction

The hypergroup notion was introduced in 1934 by Marty (Marty, 1934) at the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. He defined the hypergroups as a generalization of groups. Later on, many researchers have done many papers in this field. They investigated that the theory of hyperstructures have many applications in pure and applied mathematics, for more details, see (Corsinig, 1993), Cristea & Jancic-Rasovic, 2013), (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007) and (Omidi & Davvaz, 2017). Similar to hypergroups, hyperrings are algebraic structures more general than rings. Hyperrings were introduced and studied by many authors, see for example (Ameri & Norouzi, 2013), and (Asokkumar & Velrajan, 2012). There are many types of hyperrings. A well-known type of a hyperrig, called the Krasner hyperring, where the addition is a hyperoperation, while the multiplicative is an ordinary binary operation. For more study on this type of hyperrings, we refer to (Krasner, 1983), and (Rota, 1982). Another important type of a hyperring, called the multiplicative hyperring, obtained by considering the multiplication as a hyperoperation while the addition is an operation. This type of hyperring was introduced by Rota (Rota, 1982). A general type of hyperring, where both the addition and multiplication are hypeoperations can be found in (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007). The notion of primeness of hyperideal in a multiplicative hyperring was conceptualized by Procesi and Rota (Procesi & Rota, 1999). Dasgupta introduced the concepts of prime and primary hyperideals in multiplicative hyrerrings (Dasgupta, 2012). The notion of 2 -absorbing and 2 -absorbing primary hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings have been introduced and studied by Anbarloei (Anbarloei, 2017). The objective of this paper is to construct more accurate results and concepts regarding multiplicative hyperrings. In fact the motivation of writing this paper is two folded:

(1) To extend the concepts of prime, primary, 2 –absorbing and 2–absorbing primary hyperideals in multiplicative hyperrings to the concepts of ϕ –prime, ϕ –primary, ϕ –

2 –absorbing, and ϕ – 2 –absorbing primary hyperideals respectively.

(2) To introduce the concepts of hyperideals of direct product of multiplicative hyperrings and how to classify them among absorbing hyperideals. The remains of this paper are organized as follows: Section 2 concerns some basic definitions and results in the sequel of this paper. In section 3, the main results concerning generalizations of 2 – absorbing primary hyperideals will be given. Section 4 concerns the conclusion.

Preliminary Notes

In this section we state some basic concepts and results related to hyperring theory. We hope that this will improve the readability and understanding of this paper.

In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set. Let H be a non empty set and $\mathbb{P}^*(H)$ be the family of all nonempty subsets of *H*. As in (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007), a hyperoperation • on H is a mapping $\bullet: H \times H \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^*(H)$. The couple (H, \bullet) is called a hypergroupoid. If $A, B \in \mathbb{P}^*(H)$ and $x \in H$, then we define $A \bullet$ $B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a \bullet b, A \bullet x = A \bullet \{x\}$ and $x \bullet B = \{x\} \bullet B$. The notions of semihypergroups, quasihypergroups and hypergroups are defined in (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007) as follows. A hypergroupoid (H, \bullet) is called a semihypergroup if for all a, b, c of H we have $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$, which means that $\bigcup_{u \in a \bullet b} u \bullet c = \bigcup_{v \in b \bullet c} a \bullet v$. A hypergroupoid (H,•) is called a quasihypergroup if for all a of H we have $a \cdot H = H =$ H • a.(It is also called the reproduction axiom). A hypergroupoid (H, \bullet) which is both a semihypergroup and a quasihypergroup is called a hypergroup. Recall from (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007) that a triple $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a multiplicative hyperring if

(1) (R, +) is an abelian group;

(2) (R, \bullet) is a semihypergroup;

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Applied Science, Al-Aqsa University, Gaza, Palestine.

^{*}Corresponding author: ma.hmodeh@alaqsa.edu.ps

(3) for all $a, b, c \in R$, we have $a \bullet (b+c) \subseteq a \bullet b + a \bullet c$ and $(b+c) \bullet a \subseteq b \bullet a + c \bullet a$;

(4) for all $a, b, c \in R$, we have $a \bullet (-b) = (-a) \bullet b = -(a \bullet b)$.

If in (3) we have equalities instead of inclusions, then we say that the multiplicative hyperring is *strongly distributive*.

A multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is said to be *commutative* if R is commutative with respect to hyperoperation •. Throughout this paper all multiplicative hyperrings are assumed to be commutative with absorbing zero; i.e., there exists $0 \in R$ such that x = 0 + x and $0 \in x \bullet 0$ for all $x \in R$. Recall from (Ameri et al., 2017), that if $(R, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative hyperring, then an element $e \in R$ is called a left (resp. right) identity if $a \in e \bullet a$ (resp. $a \in a \bullet e$) for $a \in R$. e is called an identity element if it is both left and right identity element. An element $e \in R$ is called a *left (resp. right) scalar identity* if $a = e \cdot a$ (resp. $a = a \cdot e$) for $a \in R$. *e* is called a scalar identity element if it is both left and right scalar identity element. If $(R, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative hyperring with identity e, then $a \in R$ is called a *left (resp. right) invertible* (with respect to *e*) if there exists $x \in R$ such that $e \in R$ $x \bullet a$ (resp. $e \in a \bullet x$). *a* is called invertible if it is both left and right invertible. A multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called unitary if it contains an element u such that $a \cdot u = u \cdot a = \{a\}$ for all $a \in R$. A nonempty subset H of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a subhyperring of $(R, +, \bullet)$ if $(H, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative hyperring. In other words, H is a subhyperring of $(R, +, \bullet)$ if $H - H \subseteq H$ and $x \bullet y \subseteq H$ for any $x, y \in H$. A nonempty subset I of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a hyperideal of $(R, +, \bullet)$ if $I - I \subseteq I$ and $x \bullet r \cup r \bullet x \subseteq I$ for any $x \in I$ and $r \in R$. The intersection of two subhyperrings of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is a subhyperring of R. The intersection of two hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is a hyperideal of R. Moreover any intersection of subhyperrings of a multiplicative hyperring is a subhyperring, and any intersection of hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring is a hyperideal. The hyperideal generated by any subset S of $(R, +, \bullet)$ is the intersection of all hyperideals of R containing S. From (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007), and (Dasgupta, 2012), let A and B be non empty hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$.

(1) The sum A + B is the hyperideal defined by

 $A+B=\{a+b\colon a\in A,b\in B\}.$

(2) The product $A \bullet B$ is the hyperideal defined by

 $A \bullet B = \bigcup \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \bullet b_i : a_i \in A, b_i \in B, n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$

(3) The principal hyperideal of R generated by an element a is given by

$$\langle a \rangle = \{ pa : p \in \mathbb{Z} \} +$$

 $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_{j} + \sum_{k=1}^{l} z_{k} : \forall i, j, k, \exists r_{i}, s_{j}, u_{k}, t_{k} \in R, x_{i} \in r_{i} \bullet a, y_{j} \in a \bullet s_{i}, z_{k} \in t_{k} \bullet a \bullet u_{k}\}.$

(4) The zero hyperideal is the hyperideal generated by the additive identity zero denoted by < 0 > and we have < 0 >= $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \sum_{j=1}^{m} y_j + \sum_{k=1}^{l} z_k: \forall i, j, k, \exists r_i, s_j, u_k, t_k \in R, x_i \in r_i \bullet 0, y_i \in 0 \bullet s_i, z_k \in t_k \bullet 0 \bullet u_k\}.$

Recall from (Procesi & Rota, 1999) that a prober hyperideal *I* of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a prime hyperideal of *R* if for any $a, b \in R$, $a \bullet b \subseteq I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. From (Dasgupta, 2012), let *C* be the class of all finite products of elements of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$, i.e., $C = \{r_1 \bullet r_2 \bullet \dots \bullet r_n : r_i \in R, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^*(R)$. A hyperideal *I* of *R* is called a

C-ideal of R if for any $A \in C, A \cap I \neq \emptyset \implies A \subseteq I$. The radical of I denoted by Rad(I) is the intersection of all prime hyperideals of R containing I. If R does not have any prime hyperideal containing I, then Rad(I) = R.

Let \sqrt{I} defined as $\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R: r^n \subseteq I \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $r^n = \underbrace{r \bullet r \bullet \dots \bullet r}_{n-times}$ for any positive integer n > 1 and $r^1 =$

{*r*}, then by Proposition 3.2. in (Dasgupta, 2012), $\sqrt{I} \subseteq Rad(I)$. The equality holds when *I* is a *C* –ideal of *R*. A hyperideal $I \neq R$ of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a primary hyperideal of *R* if for any $a, b \in R$, $a \bullet b \subseteq I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$.

Recall from (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007) that a homomorphism (resp. good homomorphism) between two multiplicative hyperrings $(R, +, \bullet)$ and $(R', +', \bullet')$ is a map $f: R \to R'$ such that for all x, y of R, we have f(x + y) = f(x) + 'f(y) and $f(x \bullet y) \subseteq f(x) \bullet 'f(y)$ (resp. $f(x \bullet y) = f(x) \bullet 'f(y)$).

The kernel of *f* is the inverse image of < 0 >, the hyperideal generated by the zero in *R'* and is denoted by Ker(f). From (Davvaz & Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007), let (*R*, +,•) be a multiplicative hyperring and *I* be a hyperideal of *R*. The usual addition of cosets and multiplication defined as:

 $(a + I) \star (b + I) = \{c + I: c \in a \bullet b\}$ on the set $R/I = \{a + I: a \in R\}$ of all cosets of *I*. Then, $(R/I, +, \star)$ is a multiplicative hyperring and it is strongly distributive if *R* is so.

Recall from (Anbarloei, 2017) that a prober hyperideal *I* of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called a 2-absorbing (resp. 2 –absorbing primary) hyperideal of *R* if $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I$, then $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq I$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq I$ (resp. $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq I$) for $a \cdot c \subseteq I$ (resp. $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{I}$) for any $a, b, c \in R$.

Results and Discussion

We start by the following definitions.

Definition 3.1 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring , L(R) be the lattice of all hyperideals of R and $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function.

(1) A proper hyperideal *I* of *R* is called a ϕ –*prime* hyperideal of *R* if whenever $a, b \in R$ with $a \cdot b \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in I$.

(2) A proper hyperideal *I* of *R* is called a ϕ -primary hyperideal of *R* if whenever $a, b \in R$ with $a \cdot b \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in \sqrt{I}$.

(3) A proper hyperideal *I* of *R* is called a $\phi - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of *R* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $\bullet b \bullet c \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $a \bullet b \subseteq I$ or $a \bullet c \subseteq I$ or $b \bullet c \subseteq I$.

(4) A proper hyperideal *I* of *R* is called a $\phi - 2$ –*absorbing* primary hyperideal of *R* if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Definition 3.2 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, and *I* be a $\phi - 2$ – absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*. Then,

(1) If $\phi(P) = \emptyset$ for every $P \in L(R)$, then we say that $\phi = \phi_{\emptyset}$, and *I* is called a $\phi_{\emptyset} - 2$ – *absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*, and hence *I* is a 2 – *absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*.

(2) If $\phi(P) = P$ for every $P \in L(R)$, then we say that $\phi = \phi_1$ and *I* is called a $\phi_1 - 2$ –*absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*.

(3) If $n \ge 2$ a positive integer and $\phi(P) = P^n$ for every $P \in L(R)$, then we say that $\phi = \phi_n$ and I is called a $\phi_n - C(R)$

2 – absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*. In the case that n = 2, we say that $\phi = \phi_2$ and *I* is called an almost-2 – absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*.

(4) If $\phi(P) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n$ for every $P \in L(R)$, then we say that $\phi = \phi_w$ and *I* is called a $\phi_w - 2$ *-absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*.

Remark 3.3

(1) As $I - \phi(I) = I - (I \cap \phi(I))$, so we may assume that $\phi(I) \subseteq I$.

(2) Given two functions $\psi_1, \psi_2: L(R) \to L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$, we say that $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$ if $\psi_1(P) \subseteq \psi_2(P)$ for each $P \in L(R)$. Thus, one can be easily seen that $\phi_0 \leq \phi_w \leq \ldots \leq \phi_{n+1} \leq \phi_n \leq \ldots \leq \phi_2 \leq \phi_1$.

(3) Every ϕ –prime hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring *R* is ϕ –primary hyperideal of *R*.

(4) It is clear that every $\phi - 2$ –absorbing hyperideal is a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal.

(5) It is clear that every ϕ –primary hyperideal is a ϕ – 2 –absorbing primary hyperideal.

The following example shows that a ϕ – 2 –absorbing primary hyperideal need not be ϕ – 2 –absorbing hyperideal.

Example 3.4 Let *R* be the ring *Z* under ordinary addition and multiplication. For any $a, b \in R$, we define the hyperoperation $a \cdot b = \{2ab, 3ab\}$. Then, $R = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative hyperring. Let $H = 12\mathbb{Z} = \{12n: n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a subset of *R*. Then, *H* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* that is not $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of $\forall n \ge 2$.

The following example shows that a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal need not be ϕ –primary hyperideal.

Example 3.5 Consider the multiplicative hyperring \mathbb{Z} define in Example 3.4. The hyperideal $12\mathbb{Z} = \{12n: n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of $\mathbb{Z} \forall n \ge 2$. However, $12\mathbb{Z}$ is not ϕ_n –primary hyperideal of \mathbb{Z} . 4 • 3 $\subseteq 12\mathbb{Z} - \phi_0(12\mathbb{Z}) = 12\mathbb{Z}$ and 4 $\notin 12\mathbb{Z}$, $3^n \notin 12\mathbb{Z} \forall n \ge 2$. Also, 3 $\notin 12\mathbb{Z}$, $4^n \nvDash 12\mathbb{Z} \forall n \ge 2$. Therefore, $12\mathbb{Z}$ is not ϕ_n –primary hyperideal of \mathbb{Z} .

Now, we give the following diagram which clarifies the place of $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal in the lattice of all hyperideals L(R) of R.

prime hyperideal $\Rightarrow \phi$ -prime hyperideal $\Rightarrow \phi$ -2-absorbing hyperideal $\Rightarrow \phi$ -2-absorbing primary hyperideal.

Proposition 3.6 Let *I* be a proper hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ and let $\psi_1, \psi_2: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ with $\psi_1 \leq \psi_2$. If *I* is a $\psi_1 - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, then *I* is a $\psi_2 - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*.

Proof. Assume that *I* is a $\psi_1 - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* and let $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I - \psi_2(I)$. Now, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I - \psi_2(I) \subseteq I - \psi_1(I)$. Therefore, *I* is a $\psi_2 - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*.

Now, we need the following definition.

Definition 3.7 A proper hyperideal *I* of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ is called an idempotent if $I = I^2$.

Theorem 3.8 Let *I* be a proper hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$. Then the following assertions hold.

(1) If *I* is a 2 – *absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*, then *I* is a $\phi_w - 2$ – *absorbing primary hyperideal* of *R*.

(2) If *I* is a $\phi_w - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, then *I* is a $\phi_{n+1} - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* for every positive integer $n \ge 2$.

(3) If *I* is a $\phi_{n+1} - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, then *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* for every positive integer $n \ge 2$.

(4) If *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* for every positive integer $n \ge 2$, then *I* is almost 2 –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*.

(5) If *I* is an *idempotent hyperideal* of *R*, then *I* is a $\phi_w - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* and *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* for every positive integer $n \ge 1$.

(6) If $I = \sqrt{I}$, then *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* if and only if *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of *R*.

(7) *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* for every positive integer $n \ge 2$ if and only if *I* is a $\phi_w - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*.

(8) If *I* is a ϕ – *primary hyperideal* of *R* and $\phi(\sqrt{I}) = \sqrt{\phi(I)}$, then \sqrt{I} is a ϕ –prime hyperideal of *R*.

Proof.

(1-4) Follow directly from Proposition 3.6.

(5) Assume that *I* is an idempotent hyperideal of *R*. Then, $I = I^n$ for every positive integer $n \ge 1$. Thus, $\phi_w(I) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n = I$. Thus, we are done.

(6) Since, $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}} = \sqrt{I}$, we are done.

(7) Assume that *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of *R* and let $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I - \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I^n$. Thus, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I - I^n$ for some positive integer $n \ge 2$. Now, *I* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* implies that $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. The converse is clear from parts (1), (2).

(8) Proceed similar as (6).

Lemma 3.9 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, *I* be a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R* and *J* be a subset of *R*. For any $a \in R$, $a \bullet J \subseteq$ $I - \phi(I)$ and $a \notin I$, implies that $J \subseteq I$.

Proof. Let $a \in R$, $a \bullet J \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \notin I$. Thus, $a \bullet J = \bigcup a \bullet j_i \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ for all $j_i \in J$. Then, $a \bullet j_i \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ for all $j_i \in J$. Since *I* is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R* and $a \notin I$, we conclude that $j_i \in I$ for all $j_i \in J$. Therefore, $J \subseteq I$.

Now, we extend Lemma 3.9 to ϕ –primary case.

Lemma 3.10 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, I be a ϕ -primary hyperideal of R and P be a subset of R. For any $a \in R$, $a \bullet P \subseteq$ $I - \phi(I)$, then either $a \in I$ or $P \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. Assume that $a \in R$, $a \cdot P \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \notin I$. Then, $a \cdot P = \bigcup a \cdot J_{\alpha} \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ for all $J_{\alpha} \in P$. Thus, $a \cdot J_{\alpha} \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ for all $J_{\alpha} \in P$. Since *I* is a ϕ -primary hyperideal of *R* and $a \notin I$, we conclude that $J_{\alpha} \in \sqrt{I}$ for all $J_{\alpha} \in P$. Therefore, $P \subseteq I$.

Theorem 3.11 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, I be a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, and A and B are subsets of R. If $A \bullet B \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $A \subseteq I$ or $B \subseteq I$. *Proof.* Assume that $A \cdot B \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, $A \notin I$, and $B \notin I$. Since, $A \cdot B = \bigcup x_i \cdot y_i \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $x_i \cdot y_i \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ for all $x_i \in A$ and $y_i \in B$. Since, $A \notin I$ and $B \notin I$, then there exist $a, b \notin I$ for some $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Thus, $a \cdot b \subseteq A \cdot B \subseteq$ $I - \phi(I)$. Since $a, b \notin I$ and I is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, then $a \cdot b \notin I$, a contradiction. Therefore, $A \subseteq I$ or $B \subseteq I$.

Theorem 3.12 Let *I* be a proper hyperideal of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ and let $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. If *I* is a ϕ -prime hyperideal that is not prime, then $I^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$. Hence, a ϕ -prime hyperideal *I* with $I^2 \not\subseteq \phi(I)$ is prime.

Proof. Assume that $I^2 \notin \phi(I)$, we show that *I* is prime hyperideal of *R*. Let $a, b \in R$ such that $a \cdot b \subseteq I$. If $a \cdot b \notin \phi(I)$, and since *I* is a ϕ -prime hyperideal, we have $a \in I$ or $b \notin I$. So, assume that $a \cdot b \subseteq \phi(I)$. First, assume that $a \cdot I = \bigcup a \cdot j_{\alpha} \notin \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\alpha} \in I$. Thus, there exists $j_{\alpha} \in I$ such that $a \cdot j_{\alpha} \notin \phi(I)$. Then, $a \cdot (b + j_{\alpha}) \subseteq I - \phi(I)$. So, $a \in I$ or $b + j_{\alpha} \in I$ and hence $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. So, we can assume that $a \cdot I = \bigcup a \cdot j_{\alpha} \subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\alpha} \in I$. Then, $a \cdot j_{\alpha} \subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\alpha} \in I$. Likewise, we can assume that $b \cdot I = \bigcup b \cdot j_{\beta} \subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\beta} \in I$. Then, $b \cdot j_{\beta} \subseteq \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\beta} \in I$. Since $I^2 = \bigcup j_{\alpha} \cdot j_{\beta} \notin \phi(I)$ for all $j_{\alpha}, j_{\beta} \in I$, then there exist $j_{\alpha}, j_{\beta} \in I$ with $j_{\alpha} \cdot j_{\beta} \notin \phi(I)$. Then, $(a + j_{\alpha}) \cdot (b + j_{\beta}) \subseteq I - \phi(I)$. Since *I* is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R*, we conclude that $a + j_{\alpha} \in I$ or $b + j_{\beta} \in I$, and hence $a \in I$ or $b \in I$. Thus, *I* is prime hyperideal of *R*.

Theorem 3.13 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, *I* be a ϕ – prime hyperideal of *R* for some ϕ , and $\phi(I) \subseteq \phi(J)$ for some hyperideal *J* of *R* such that $J = \sqrt{J}$ and $J \subset I$. Then, *I* is a prime hyperideal of *R*.

Proof. Assume that *I* is not a prime hyperideal of *R*. Then, $I^2 \subseteq \phi(I)$ by Theorem 3.12. Hence $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\phi(I)}$. Since $\phi(I) \subseteq \phi(J) \subseteq J = \sqrt{J}$, we have $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{\phi(J)} \subseteq J$. Thus, $I \subseteq J$, a contradiction. Therefore, *I* is a prime hyperideal of *R*.

Theorem 3.14 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, and I_1 and I_2 be ϕ -prime hyperideals of R. If $\phi(I_1) = \phi(I_2) = \phi(I_1 \cap I_2)$, then $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a $\phi - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of R.

Proof. Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq (I_1 \cap I_2) - \phi(I_1 \cap I_2)$, let $a \cdot b \notin I_1 \cap I_2$ and $b \cdot c \notin I_1 \cap I_2$. Then, $a, b, c \notin I_1 \cap I_2$. If $a \in I_1 \cap I_2$, then $a \in I_1$ and $a \in I_2$. Since I_1 and I_2 are hyperideals, we have $a \cdot b \subseteq I_1$ and $a \cdot b \subseteq I_2$. Then, $a \cdot b \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ which is a contradiction. Thus, $a \notin I_1 \cap I_2$. Similarly, $b \notin I_1 \cap I_2$ and $c \notin I_1 \cap I_2$. We have three cases:

Case (1): $a \notin I_1$ and $a \notin I_2$. Since, $c \notin I_1 \cap I_2$, we have three cases again. Assume that $c \notin I_1$ and $c \notin I_2$. Since $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq (I_1 \cap I_2) - \phi(I_1 \cap I_2)$. Then, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_1 - \phi(I_1)$. Since I_1 is $a \phi$ -prime hyperideal of R and $a \cdot c \notin I_1$, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_1 - \phi(I_1)$, then $b \in I_1$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $a \cdot b \in I_2$. Similarly, Since I_2 is $a \phi$ -prime hyperideal of R and $a \cdot c \notin I_2$, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_2 - \phi(I_2)$, we have $b \in I_2$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $a \cdot b \in I_2$. Similarly, Since $I_2 = \phi(I_2)$, we have $b \in I_2$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $a \cdot b \in I_2$. Hence, $a \cdot b \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$, a contradiction. Thus, $c \in I_1$ or $c \in I_2$. Now, assume that $c \notin I_1$ and $c \in I_2$. Since, I_1 is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R and $a \cdot c \notin I_1$, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_1 - \phi(I_1)$, we have $b \in I_1 \cap I_2$, a contradiction. Similarly $c \notin I_2$ and $c \in I_1$ lead to a contradiction. Thus, if $a \notin I_1 \cap I_2$, then $a \in I_1$ or $a \in I_2$.

Case (2): $a \in I_1$ and $a \notin I_2$. We show that $c \in I_2$. Assume that $c \notin I_2$. Since I_2 is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, we have $a \bullet$

 $c \notin I_2$. Since, whenever $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_2 - \phi(I_2)$, $a \cdot c \notin I_2$ and also I_2 is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, then $b \in I_2$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $a \cdot b \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$, a contradiction. Thus, $c \in I_2$ and we get $c \notin I_1$. Therefore, $a \cdot c \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$.

Case (3): Assume that $a \in I_2$ and $a \notin I_1$, we show that $c \in I_1$. Assume that $c \notin I_1$. Since I_2 is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, then $a \cdot c \notin I_1$. Since, whenever $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq I_1 - \phi(I_1)$, $a \cdot c \notin I_1$ and I_1 is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, then $b \in I_1$ by Lemma 3.9. Thus, $a \cdot b \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$, a contradiction. Since, $c \in I_1$ and $c \notin I_1 \cap I_2$, we have $c \notin I_2$ and hence $a \cdot c \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$. Thus, $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a $\phi - 2$ -absorbing hyperideal of R.

Example 3.15 Let $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \oplus, \odot)$ be a ring such that the binary operations \oplus , \odot defines as follows:

 $\overline{a} \oplus \overline{b}$ and $\overline{a} \odot \overline{b}$ are remainder of $\frac{a+b}{6}$ and $\frac{a.b}{6}$ where + and . are ordinary addition and multiplication for all $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$. For $\overline{a}, \overline{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_6$, we define the hyperoperation $\overline{a} \cdot \overline{b} =$ $\{\overline{0}, \overline{ab}, \overline{2ab}, \overline{3ab}, \overline{4ab}, \overline{5ab}\}$. One can easily see that $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \oplus, \bullet)$ is a commutative hyperring. Now, let $I_1 = \{0\}$ and $I_2 = \{\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}\}$. Then, $I_1 \cap I_2 = \{\overline{0}\}$. Clearly, $\{\overline{0}\}$ is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing hyperideal of $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \oplus, \bullet) \forall n \ge 2$, but it is not a $\phi_n - 2$ –prime hyperideal of $(\mathbb{Z}_6, \oplus, \bullet)$.

Theorem 3.16 Let *J* and *P* be proper hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$ such that $J \subseteq P$, and let $n \ge 2$ be positive integer. If *P* is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, then *P*/*J* is a $\phi_n - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*/*J*.

Proof. Assume that *P* is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*. Let $a, b, c \in R$ such that $(a + J) * (b + J) * (c + J) \in P/J - (P/J)^n$. Since $J \subseteq P$, we have $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq P - P^n$. Thus, $a \cdot b \subseteq P$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{P}$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{P}$. Now, $J \subseteq P$ implies $\sqrt{P/J} = \sqrt{P}/J$. Thus, $(a + J) * (b + J) \subseteq P/J$ or $(a + J) * (c + J) \subseteq \sqrt{P}/J$ or $(b + J) * (c + J) \subseteq \sqrt{P}/J$. Hence, P/J is a $\phi_n - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R/J.

Theorem 3.17 Let $J \subseteq P$ be proper hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring $(R, +, \bullet)$. If *P* is a $\phi_w - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, then *P*/*J* is a $\phi_w - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*/*J*.

Proof. Proceed similar as Theorem 3.16.

Definition 3.18 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \to L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, and $I \subseteq J$ be proper hyperideals of R. The proper hyperideal J/I of R/I is called a $\phi_I - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R/I if whenever $a, b, c \in$ R/I with $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq J/I - (\phi(J) + I)/I$ implies $a \cdot b \subseteq J/I$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J/I}$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J/I}$.

Theorem 3.19 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, *J* be a proper hyperideal of *R*. Suppose that *I* is a proper hyperideal of *R* with $I \subseteq \phi(J)$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

(1) J is a $\phi - 2$ – absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

(2) J/I is a $\phi_I - 2$ – absorbing primary hyperideal of R/I.

(3) J/I^n is a $\phi_{I^n} - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of R/I^n for every positive integer $n \ge 1$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that *J* is a ϕ - 2 -absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, and let *a*, *b*, *c* \in *R* such that $(a + I) \star (b + I) \star (c + I) = \{x + I : x \in a \cdot b \cdot c\} \subseteq J/I - (\phi(J) + I)/I$. Now, since *R* is a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring

Now, since R is a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring and I is a hyperideal of R, then R/I is a ring by (Davvaz &

Leoreanu-Fotea, 2007, Corollary 4.3.6). Thus, $(a + I) * (b + I) * (c + I) = a \cdot b \cdot c + I \subseteq J/I - (\phi(J) + I)/I$. Thus, $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq J - \phi(J)$. Thus, $a \cdot b \subseteq J$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J}$. Since, $I \subseteq \phi(J) \subseteq J$, we have $\sqrt{J/I} = \sqrt{J}/I$. Thus, $(a + I) * (b + I) \subseteq J/I$ or $(a + I) * (c + I) \subseteq \sqrt{J}/I$ or $(b + I) * (c + I) \subseteq \sqrt{J}/I$. Hence, J/I is a $\phi_I - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R/I.

 $\begin{array}{l} (2) \Longrightarrow (3) \text{ Assume that } (2) \text{ hold and let } n \geq 1 \text{ be positive} \\ \text{integer. Since } I \subseteq \phi(J), \text{ we have } I^n \subseteq I \subseteq \phi(J). \text{ Suppose that} \\ a,b,c \in R \quad \text{with } (a+l^n) \star (b+l^n) \star (c+l^n) = \{y+l^n: y \in a \circ b \circ c\} \subseteq J/l^n - \phi(J+l^n)/l^n. \text{ Thus, } a \circ b \circ c \notin \phi(J). \text{ Since } I \subseteq \phi(J) \text{ and } a \circ b \circ c \notin \phi(J), \text{ we have } a \circ b \circ c \notin I. \text{ Thus, } (a+l) \star (b+l) \star (c+l) \subseteq J/l - \phi(J+l)/l. \text{ Since } \sqrt{J}/l = \sqrt{J}/l^n = \sqrt{J}/l^n \text{ and } J/l \text{ is a } \phi_l - 2 \text{ -absorbing primary hyperideal of } R, \text{ we conclude that } a \circ b \subseteq J \text{ or } a \circ c \subseteq \sqrt{J} \text{ or } b \circ c \in \sqrt{J}. \text{ Thus, } a \circ b + l^n \subseteq J/l^n \text{ or } a \circ c + l^n \subseteq \sqrt{J}/l^n. \text{ Note that } l^n \text{ is a hyperideal of } R \text{ and } R \text{ is a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring, so } R/l^n \text{ is a ring.} \end{array}$

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Assume that (3) hold and let n = 1. Assume that $a, b, c \in R$ with $a \cdot b \cdot c \subseteq J - \phi(J)$. Since, $I \subseteq \phi(J)$, then $a \cdot b \cdot c \nsubseteq I$. Since, $I \subseteq \phi(J) \subset J$, we have $(a + I) \star (b + I) \star (c + I) = a \cdot b \cdot c + I \subseteq J/I - \phi(J)/I$. Since, $\sqrt{J/I} = \sqrt{J}/I$ and J/I is a $\phi_I - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R, we conclude that $a \cdot b \subseteq J$ or $a \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ or $b \cdot c \subseteq \sqrt{J}$. Hence, J is a $\phi - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

The proof of the next result is easily verified, and thus we omit the proof.

Lemma 3.20 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring and $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function. Set $R/\{\emptyset\} = R$, and let *J* be a proper hyperideal of *R*. Then, *J* is a prime (primary, 2 – absorbing primary, respectively) hyperideal of *R* if and only if $J/\phi(J)$ is a prime (primary, 2 – absorbing primary, respectively) hyperideal of $R/\phi(J)$.

Theorem 3.21 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, and I be a proper hyperideal of R. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

(1) *I* is a ϕ –*primary hyperideal* of *R*.

(2) For each $a \in R - \sqrt{I}$, $(I:_R a) = I \cup (\phi(I):_R a)$.

(3) For each $a \in R - \sqrt{I}$, either $(I:_R a) = I$ or $(I:_R a) = (\phi(I):_R a)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that *I* is a ϕ -primary hyperideal of *R*. Clearly, $I \cup (\phi(I)_{:_R} a) \subseteq (I_{:_R} a)$. On the other hand, for every $x \in (I_{:_R} a)$, if $x \cdot a \subseteq \phi(I)$, then $x \in (\phi(I)_{:_R} a)$. Otherwise, from $x \cdot a \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \notin \sqrt{I}$, we get $x \in I$. Hence, $(I_{:_R} a) \subseteq I \cup (\phi(I)_{:_R} a)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It is clear since (*I*:_{*R*} *a*) is a hyperideal of *R*.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Assume that $a, b \in R$ with $a \cdot b \subseteq I - \phi(I)$. Obviously, $(I_{:R} a) \neq (\phi(I)_{:R} a)$. If $a \notin \sqrt{I}$, then by (3), we have $(I_{:R} a) = I$. This implies that $b \in I$, that is I is ϕ -primary hyperideal of R.

Theorem 3.22 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, *I* be a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* and *P* be a hyperideal of *R*. If $a \cdot b \cdot P \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \cdot b \notin I$ for any $a, b \in R$, then $a \cdot P \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $b \cdot P \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. Assume that $a \cdot P \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot P \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for some $a, b \in R$. Since, $a \cdot P = \bigcup$ $a \cdot j_{\alpha} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot P = \bigcup$ $b \cdot$

 $j_{\alpha} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for all $j_{\alpha} \in P$, then there exists j_{α} such that $a \cdot j_{\alpha} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot j_{\alpha} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$. We may assume that $a \cdot j_{1} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot j_{2} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for some $j_{1}, j_{2} \in P$. Also, for all j_{α} we have $a \cdot b \cdot j_{\alpha} \subseteq I - \phi(I)$. Since $a \cdot b \cdot j_{1} \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, $a \cdot b \not\subseteq I$ and $a \cdot j_{1} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $b \cdot j_{1} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Similarly, since $a \cdot b \cdot j_{2} \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, $a \cdot b \not\subseteq I$ and $b \cdot j_{2} \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$. We have $a \cdot j_{2} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Now, since I is a $\phi - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R, whenever $a \cdot b(j_{1} + j_{2}) \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \cdot b \not\subseteq I$, we have $a \cdot (j_{1} + j_{2}) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $b \cdot (j_{1} + j_{2}) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Assume that $a \cdot (j_{1} + j_{2}) = a \cdot j_{1} + a \cdot j_{2} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $a \cdot j_{2} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $a \cdot j_{1} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ a contradiction. Similarly, let $b \cdot (j_{1} + j_{2}) = b \cdot j_{1} + b \cdot j_{2} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $b \cdot j_{1} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $b \cdot j_{2} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Theorem 3.23 Let $(R, +, \bullet)$ be a strongly distributive multiplicative hyperring, $\phi: L(R) \rightarrow L(R) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be a function, and *I* be a proper hyperieal of *R*. Then, *I* is a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R* if and only if $I_1 \bullet I_2 \bullet I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, then $I_1 \bullet I_2 \subseteq I$ or $I_2 \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $I_1 \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for any hyperideals I_1, I_2, I_3 of *R*.

Proof. Let *I* be a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of *R*, $I_1 \bullet I_2 \bullet I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $I_1 \bullet I_2 \notin I$. Claim that $I_2 \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $I_1 \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Assume that $I_1 \bullet I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $I_2 \bullet I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$. Thus, there exist $j_1 \in I_1$ and $j_2 \in I_2$ such that $j_1 \bullet I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $j_2 \bullet I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$. By Theorem 3.22, we get $j_1 \bullet j_2 \subseteq I$. Since $I_1 \bullet I_2 \notin I$, we have $a \bullet b \notin I$ for some $a \in I_1$ and $b \in I_2$. Since $a \bullet b \bullet I_3 \subseteq I_1 \bullet I_2 \notin I$, $I_2 \bullet I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $a \bullet b \notin I$, then by Theorem 3.22, $a \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $b \bullet I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$.

Case (1): Assume that $a \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $j_1 \cdot b \cdot I_3 \subseteq I_1 \cdot I_2 \cdot I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, $b \cdot I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $j_1 \cdot I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $j_1 \cdot b \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.22. Since $(a + j_1) \cdot b \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we $I_2 \cdot I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$ and $b \cdot I_3 \not\subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $(a + j_1) \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $(a + j_1) \cdot b \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.22. Assume that $(a + j_1) \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ or $(a + j_1) \cdot b \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.22. Assume that $(a + j_1) \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then for every $j_3 \in I_3$, since R is strongly distributive, we conclude that $(a + j_1) \cdot I_3 = \bigcup (a + j_1) \cdot j_3 = a \cdot j_3 + j_1 \cdot j_3 = a \cdot I_3 + j_1 \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since \sqrt{I} is a hyperideal and $a \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we get $j_1 \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Now, suppose that $(a + j_1) \cdot b \subseteq I$, we have $a \cdot b \subseteq I$, a contradiction.

Case (2): Assume that $a \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Then, $a \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.22. Since $a \cdot (b+j_2) \cdot I_3 \subseteq I_1 \cdot I_2 \cdot I_3 \subseteq I - \phi(I)$, $a \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$, we have $a \cdot (b+j_2) \subseteq I$ or $(b+j_2) \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ by Theorem 3.22. Assume that $(b+j_2) \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since *R* strongly distributive, we have $(b+j_2) \cdot I_3 = \bigcup (b+j_2) \cdot j_3 = b \cdot j_3 + j_2 \cdot j_3 = b \cdot I_3 + j_2 \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ for every $j_3 \in I_3$. Since \sqrt{I} is a hyperideal and $b \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, we have $j_2 \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$, a contradiction. Now, assume that $a \cdot (b+j_2) = a \cdot b + a \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$. Similarly, since *I* is a hyperideal and $a \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$, we have $a \cdot b \subseteq I$, a contradiction.

Case (3): Assume that $a \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $b \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$. Since $b \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $j_2 \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$, we have $(b+j_2) \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$. By Theorem 3.22, we conclude that $j_1 \cdot (b+j_2) = j_1 \cdot b+j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$. Since $j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$ and $j_1 \cdot b+j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$, we get $b \cdot j_1 \subseteq I$. Since $a \cdot I_3 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ and $j_1 \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$, we conclude that $(a+j_1) \cdot I_3 \notin \sqrt{I}$. Hence $(a+j_1) \cdot j_2 = a \cdot j_2 + j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.22. Since $j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$ and $a \cdot j_2 + j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$, we have $a \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$. Thus, $(a+j_1) \cdot (b+j_2) = a \cdot b + a \cdot j_2 + b \cdot j_1 + j_1 \cdot j_2 \subseteq I$ which leads to $a \cdot b \subseteq I$ that is a contradiction. Let $(R_1, +_1, \bullet_1)$ and $(R_2, +_2, \bullet_2)$ be two multiplicative hyperrings. Recall from (Ardekani & Davvaz, 2014) that $(R = R_1 \times R_2, +, \bullet)$ is a multiplicative hyperrings with the operation + and the hyperoperatin \bullet are defined respectively as $(x, y) + (z, t) = (x+_1z, y+_2t)$ and $(x, y) \bullet (z, t) = \{(a, b) \in R: a \in x \bullet_1 z, b \in y \bullet_2 t\}$ for all $(x, y), (z, t) \in R$. Note that each hyperideal of R is the cartesian product of hyperideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively.

Remark 3.24 Let $(R_1, +_1, \bullet_1)$ and $(R_2, +_2, \bullet_2)$ be two multiplicative hyperrings, $R = R_1 \times R_2$, $\psi_1: L(R_1) \rightarrow L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\psi_2: L(R_2) \rightarrow L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be functions, and $\phi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$. Let $I = I_1 \times I_2$ be a hyperideal of R, where I_1 and I_2 are hyperideals of R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Suppose that $\psi_i(I_i) = \emptyset$ for some $i, 1 \le i \le 2$. Then $I - \phi(I) = I$. Hence, $\phi(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\psi_i(I_i) = \emptyset$ for some $i, 1 \le i \le 2$. If $\phi(I) = \emptyset$, then we set $R/\phi(I) = R$.

Theorem 3.25 Let $(R_1, +_1, \bullet_1)$ and $(R_2, +_2, \bullet_2)$ be two multiplicative hyperrings, $R = R_1 \times R_2$, $\psi_1: L(R_1) \rightarrow L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\psi_2: L(R_2) \rightarrow L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be functions such that $\psi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$, and let $\phi = \psi_1 \times \psi_2$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent.

(1) $I_1 \times R_2$ is a $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

(2) $I_1 \times R_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

(3) I_1 is a 2 – absorbing primary hyperideal of R_1 .

Proof. Assume that $\psi_1(l_1) = \emptyset$ or $\psi_2(R_2) = \emptyset$. Then, $\phi(l_1 \times R_2) = \emptyset$ by Remark 3.24. Hence, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Thus, assume that $\phi(l_1 \times R_2) \neq \emptyset$ and hence, $\psi_1(l_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\psi_2(R_2) \neq \emptyset$.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ It is clear that I_1 is a $\psi_1 - 2$ -absorbing primary hyperideal of R_1 . If I_1 is a 2 -absorbing primary hyperideal of R_1 , then we are done. Thus, assume that I_1 is not a 2 -absorbing hyperideal of R_1 . Thus, there exist $a, b, c \in R_1$ with $a \bullet_1 b \bullet_1 c \subseteq \psi_1(I_1), a \bullet_1 b \notin I_1, a \bullet_1 c \notin \sqrt{I_1}$ and $b \bullet_1 c \notin \sqrt{I_1}$. Since $\psi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$, we have $(a, 1_{R_2}) \bullet (b, 1_{R_2}) \bullet (c, 1_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times R_2 - \psi_1(I_1) \times \psi_2(R_2)$. Then, $a \bullet_1 b \bullet_1 c \subseteq I_1$. Thus, $a \bullet_1 b \subseteq I_1$ or $a \bullet_1 c \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$ or $b \bullet_1 c \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$, a contradiction. Thus, I_1 is a 2-absorbing primary hyperideal of R_1 . Thus, $I_1 \times R_2$ is a 2-absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ It is clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ It is clear.

Theorem 3.26 Let $(R_1, +_1, \bullet_1)$ and $(R_2, +_2, \bullet_2)$ be two multiplicative hyperrings, $R = R_1 \times R_2$, $\psi_1: L(R_1) \rightarrow$ $L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ and $\psi_2: L(R_2) \rightarrow L(R_2) \cup \{\emptyset\}$ be functions. Then the ϕ -primes of R have exactly one of the following three types:

(1) $I_1 \times I_2$, where I_1 is a proper hyperideal of R_1 with $\psi_1(I_1) = I_1$ and I_2 is a proper hyperideal of R_2 with $\psi_2(I_2) = I_2$;

(2) $I_1 \times R_2$, where I_1 is a ψ_1 -prime hyperideal of R_1 which must be prime hyperideal if $\psi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$;

(3) $R_1 \times I_2$, where I_2 is a ψ_2 -prime hyperideal of R_2 which must be prime hyperideal if $\psi_1(R_1) \neq R_1$.

Proof. First of all, note that a hyperideal of *R* having one of these three types is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R*. Case(1) is clear since $l_1 \times l_2 - \phi(l_1 \times l_2) = \phi$. If l_1 is a prime hyperideal of R_1 , then $I_1 \times R_2$ is a prime hyperideal of *R* and hence $l_1 \times R_2$ is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R*. So, assume that l_1 is a ψ_1 -prime hyperideal of R_1 and $\psi_2(R_2) \neq R_2$. Suppose that $(a_1, a_2) \cdot (b_1, b_2) \subseteq l_1 \times R_2 - \psi_1(l_1) \times \psi_2(R_2) = l_1 \times R_2 - \psi_1(l_1) \times R_2 = (l_1 - \psi_1(l_1)) \times R_2$. Then, $a_1 \cdot b_1 \subseteq l_1 - \psi_1(l_1) \Rightarrow a_1 \in$

 $I_1 \text{ or } b_1 \in I_1$, so $(a_1, a_2) \subseteq I_1 \times R_2$ or $(b_1, b_2) \subseteq I_1 \times R_2$. Thus, $I_1 \times R_2$ is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of *R*. The proof of case (3) is similar. Next, assume that $I_1 \times I_2$ is a ϕ –prime hyperideal of *R*. Let $a \bullet_1 b \subseteq I_1 - \psi_1(I_1)$. Then, $(a, 0_{R_1}) \bullet (b, 0_{R_2}) = (a \bullet_1 b, 0_{R_2}) \subseteq$ $I_1 \times I_2 - \phi(I_1 \times I_2)$. Thus, $(a, 0_{R_1}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$ or $(b, 0_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$ I_2 , i.e., $a \in I_1$ or $b \in I_1$. Thus, I_1 is a ψ_1 -prime hyperideal of R_1 . Likewise, I_2 is a ψ_2 -prime hyperideal of $\mathit{R}_2.$ Assume that $\mathit{I}_1 \times$ $I_2 \neq \psi_1(I_1) \times \psi_2(I_2)$. Say $I_1 \neq \psi_1(I_1)$. Let $x \in I_1 - \psi_1(I_1)$. Let $y \in I_2$. Then, $(x, 1_{R_2}) \bullet (1_{R_1}, y) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$. Thus, $(x, 1_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$. I_2 or $(1_{R_1}, y) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$. Hence $I_2 = R_2$ or $I_1 = R_1$. Assume that $I_2 = R_2$. So, $I_1 \times R_2$ is a ϕ -prime hyperideal of R, where I_1 is a ψ_1 –prime hyperideal of R_1 . It remains to show that if $\psi_2(R_2) \neq$ R_2 , then I_1 is actually prime hyperideal of R_1 . Let $a \bullet_1 b \subseteq I_1$. Now $1_{R_2} \not\in \psi_2(R_2)$. Then, $(a, 1_{R_2}) \bullet (b, 1_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2 - \phi(I_1 \times I_2)$ I_2), so $(a, 1_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$ or $(b, 1_{R_2}) \subseteq I_1 \times I_2$, that is, $a \in I_1$ or $b \in I_2$.

Conclusion

Here, we represented a new form of multiplicative hyperring theory. We discussed and proved new theorems in this area. We investigated the relation between the $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals and the 2 –absorbing primary hyperideals. Also, we dedicated the study to hyperideals of product of multiplicative hyperrings. We can extend the notion of $\phi - 2$ –absorbing primary hyperideals to the notion of $\phi - 2$ –absorbing quasi primary hyperideals in the next work.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Conflicts of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments and suggestions aimed at improving this paper.

References

Ameri, R., & Norouzi, M. (2013), New fundamental relation of hyperrings, European Journal of Combinatorics, 34(5), 884-891.

Ameri, R., Kordi, A. & Hoskova-Mayerova, S. (2017), Multiplicative hyperring of fractions and coprime hyperideals, Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta, Seria Matematica, 25(1), 5-23.

Anbarloei, M. (2017), On 2 – absorbing and 2 – absorbing primary hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring, Cogent Mathematics, 4, 1-8.

Ardekani, L. K. & Davvaz, B. (2014), Differential multiplicative hyperrings, Journal of Algebraic Systems, 2(1), 21-35.

Asokkumar, A. & Velrajan, M. (2012), A radical property of hyperrings, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 29, 301-308.

Corsinig, S. (1993), Prolegomena of hypergroup theory, second edition, Italy: Aviani editor.

Cristea, I. & Jancic-Rasovic, S. (2013), Composition hyperrings, Analele Stiintifice ale Universitatii Ovidius Constanta, Seria Matematica, 21 (2), 81-94.

Dasgupta, U. (2012), On prime and primary hyperideals of a multiplicative hyperring, Analele stiintifice ale Universitatii "Alexandru Ioan Cuza" din Iasi. Matematica (SERIE NOUA), 58(1), 19-36.

Davvaz, B. & Leoreanu-Fotea, V. (2007), Hyperring theory and applications, U.S.A.: International Academic Press.

Krasner, M. (1983), A class of hyperrings and hyperfields, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 6(2), 307-312.

Marty, F. (1934), Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, eighth congres des Mathematiciens Scandivanes, Stockholm, 45-49.

Omidi, S. & Davvaz, B. (2017), Contribution to study special kinds of hyperideals in ordered semihyperrings, Journal of Taibah University for Science, 11(6), 1083-1094.

Procesi, R. & Rota, R. (1999), On some classes of hyperstructures, Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 208/209, 485-497.

Rota, R. (1990), Strongly distributive multiplicative hyperrings, Journal of Geometry, 39, 130-138.

Rota, R. (1982), Sugli iperanelli moltiplicativi, Rend. Di Mat., Series VII, 2(4), 711-724.